

2011 PENNSYLVANIA Juvenile Court Dispositions

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA Hon. Tom Corbett, Governor

JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN Hon. Arthur E. Grim Berks County

VICE-CHAIRMAN Hon. Kevin M. Dougherty Philadelphia County

SECRETARY Hon. Lori A. Dumas Brooks Philadelphia County

Members

Hon. Rea B. Boylan Bucks County

Hon. John M. Cleland Superior Court

Hon. Kathryn Hens-Greco Allegheny County Hon. Carol Van Horn Franklin/Fulton Counties

Hon. H. William White Venango County

Hon. Dwayne D. Woodruff Allegheny County

James E. Anderson, Executive Director

2011 PENNSYLVANIA Juvenile Court Dispositions

CENTER FOR JUVENILE JUSTICE TRAINING AND RESEARCH Shippensburg University

ADMINISTRATION Stephen Bishop, Director

INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION Linda B. Bender, Director

Rebecca Anderson Heather Armstrong-Shugart Julie Byers Sharon Chamberlin Susan Conner Robert Diehl Cogan Duffey Randy Fisher Lanette Hutchison Linda Miller Barbara Mull Christy Nailor Donna Reasner James Stewart Michael Tan Steve Varner Nancy Witter Tim Wright

DETENTION MONITORING

Seth Bloomquist, Director Marlene Benedict

LAYOUT/GRAPHIC DESIGN Chris Heberlig

JUVENILE COURT JUDGES' COMMISSION

Center For Juvenile Justice Training And Research Information And Technology Division Shippensburg University 1871 Old Main Drive Shippensburg, Pa. 17257-2299 <u>www.jcjc.state.pa.us</u>





This report provides an overview of juvenile court dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2011 and preceding years, and summarizes juvenile court statistical data provided by county juvenile probation departments. Without the support of the courts and juvenile probation departments, this report would not be possible.

Although the report focuses primarily on delinquency dispositions, information has again been included on dependency cases that have been referred to juvenile probation departments. While county children and youth agencies handle the overwhelming majority of cases involving dependent children in the Commonwealth, some juvenile probation departments provide services to children who are alleged to be dependent on the basis of ungovernability or chronic truancy.

The information contained in this report is of value to all individuals, agencies and organizations having an interest in the Pennsylvania juvenile justice system. However, it is not intended to reflect the total workload of juvenile courts or juvenile probation departments.

It is with great pleasure that we dedicate this report to the judges, probation officers, victim advocates, district attorneys, service treatment providers, and other professionals who work on a daily basis in the Pennsylvania juvenile court system.

Respectfully submitted,

James E. Anderson Executive Director

Honorable Arthur E. Grim Chairman

In addition to this annual disposition report, another source of information on juvenile court delinquency dispositions is the Pennsylvania Juvenile Delinquency Data Analysis Tool (JDDAT). The JDDAT application was developed to facilitate independent analysis of annual dispositions reported to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission (JCJC) by juvenile probation departments throughout the Commonwealth and can be accessed at <u>www.jcjc.state.pa.us.</u>

Please note, the data contained in JDDAT is updated on a regular basis by all sixty-seven juvenile probation departments and therefore may not match the information contained in this report.

The JDDAT was developed for the JCJC by the National Center for Juvenile Justice, with funding from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice and it is maintained by the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research.



Highlights	
	-

Delinquency Dispositions

Delinquency Dispositions, 2011 (Map)	3
Delinquency Dispositions, 2007-2011	
Frequency of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions	
Source of Allegation	
Attorney Representation in Formal Delinquency Proceedings, 2011	6
Counties with Dispositions Involving Formal Proceedings with No Attorney or Waived Attorney	7
Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings by Type of Disposition, 2011	8
Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings, 2007-2011	9

Delinquency Offenses

Alleged Offenses, 2007-2011	. 10
Substantiated Offenses, 2007-2011	. 11
Most Serious Offenses, 2007-2011	.12

Secure Detention

Secure Detention Overview	13
Secure Detention Admissions, 2007-2011	14
Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2011	15
Codes Related to JCJC Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under The Juvenile Act	
Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes	
Utilization Rates for Pennsylvania Juvenile Detention Centers, 2011	

Delinquency Placements

Delinquency Placements, 2007-2011	
Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2007-2011	
Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2011 (Map)	
New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements	
Total Delinquency Placements by Type, 2011	

Disposition and Placement Reviews

Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2011	24
Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2011	24

Demographics

Selected Dispositions by Gender, 2011	25
Total Dispositions by Age, 2010-2011	
Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2011	
Educational Status	
Family Status	



Race and Ethnicity	29
Total Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity	30
Selected Delinquency Dispositions and Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity	31
Selected Placements by Race and Ethnicity	32

Dependency Dispositions

Dependency Dispositions, 2011	34
Dependency Dispositions by Age, 2011	35

Appendices

1:	County Class and Population	.37
2:	Delinquency Dispositions, 2007-2011	
3:	Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2011	.40
4:	Delinquency Dispositions by Type, 2011	.42
5:	Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2011	.46
6:	Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2011	.48
7:	Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2011	.50
8:	Alleged Offenses by Type, 2011	
9:	Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2011	
10:	Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2011	.56
11:	Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2011	.58
12:	Secure Detention by Selected Codes, 2011	.60
13:	Delinquency Placements, 2007-2011	.62
14:	Delinquency Placements by Type, 2011	.64
15:	Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2011	.66
16:	Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2011	.68
17:	Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2011	.70
18:	Delinquency Dispositions by Age, 2011	.72
19:	Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2011	. 76
	Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2011	
21:	Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2011	.80
22:	Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2011	. 82
23:	Delinquency Dispositions by Percent of Race and Ethnicity	.84
24:	Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2011	.86
25:	Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2011	.88
26:	Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity, 2011	.90
27:	Dispositions Resulting in Placement by Race and Ethnicity	. 92
28:	Dispositions Resulting in Secure Placement by Race and Ethnicity	.93
29:	Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2011	.94

Highlights

The format and presentation of the data in this year's report are intended to help summarize various trends in juvenile court processing throughout the Commonwealth. In addition to the graphs and charts, narrative is included that is intended to help readers more quickly recognize various statewide trends, as well as highlight information not reflected in the visual displays.

Please note that some 2010 data has been updated since the publication of the 2010 Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions. Those updates are reflected in the relevant charts and graphs, as well in the narrative on year-to-year comparisons.

The data within this year's report is generally organized in a manner that reflects the chronological processing of juvenile court delinquency cases. With the exception of pages 3-5 (*Delinquency Dispositions through Frequencies of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions*), the data presented flows logically from allegation source through placement.

Within this report, some of the data is organized and presented in graphs by Class County. This will help readers make comparisons across counties of similar size and demographic composition.

While some county-specific data is presented within the body of the report, all of the raw data for each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties remains available for viewing. For each section within the report, please reference the appendices to review county specific data on that topical area.

We draw your attention to the following statistical highlights of 2011:

- There were 32,544 delinquency-related dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2011. This represents an 11.2% decrease from 2010, and a 28.6% decrease since 2007 (page 4).
- Statewide secure detention admissions have declined each year since 2007. This represents a 20.4% overall decrease since 2007, and a 7.8% decrease since 2010 (page 14).
- Statewide, delinquency placements have declined each year since 2007, and have decreased by 29.1% since 2007 (page 19).
- Seventeen year olds represented the most dispositions for any age group in 2011, accounting for 26.8% of all dispositions, followed in descending order by sixteen year olds (22.0%) and fifteen year olds (16.2%) (page 26).
- Youth whose biological parents were reported as "never married" represents almost 44% of all delinquency dispositions, while youth whose parents were reported as "married" represents approximately 17% (page 28).
- Almost 47% of youth were reported as residing only with their mother, while 17.8% of youth resided with both biological parents (page 28).
- The majority of all delinquency dispositions involved White Non-Hispanic youth (45.6%), followed in descending order by Black Non-Hispanic youth (38.8%) and Hispanic youth (11.6%) (page 30).




This report is a compilation of the data collected from the juvenile probation departments in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

As in the past, to avoid confusion regarding the unit of count used in tabulating juvenile court statistics and to improve the understanding of how data can be interpreted, please note:

- 1. The primary unit of count is the *disposition*. A *disposition* is defined as an allegation disposed of by the juvenile probation department and/or the court. Within a single delinquency allegation, a youth may be charged with a number of offenses that may result in multiple petitions. Any one youth may be involved in a number of dispositions within a calendar year. In addition, a youth may be referred on more than one occasion and receive only one disposition. Dependency dispositions include only those referrals to probation departments alleging dependency that are not accompanied by allegations of delinquency. Therefore, one is cautioned NOT to attempt to interpret dispositions as the number of youth who are processed by the court or the number of offenses charged to juveniles.
- There are times when you will see the word *case* used to describe the data. In this report, the word *case* is used interchangeably with the word *disposition*;
- 3. The *unit of count* refers to a case disposed of by a juvenile court and/or juvenile probation department. The term *disposed* means that a definite action/decision has been implemented or that a treatment plan has been decided upon or begun;
- 4. The statistical system is constructed to collect up to the seven (7) most serious offenses per delinquency disposition;
- 5. The Commission collects additional information on *placement reviews, disposition reviews*, and selected special conditions attached to a disposition. A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted before the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement. A *placement review* is a hearing conducted before the court regarding a previous disposition which did involve out-of-home placement.
- 6. The data in the secure detention section of this report were collected in conjunction with the JCJC Secure Detention Monitoring Program. This information should not be compared with detention data collected and reported prior to 1989.
- 7. The reader is cautioned that in the tables containing rows with "percent of total", the row may not total 100 percent due to rounding.

Custom reports, including any information contained in tables included in previous reports that are not included in this report, can be obtained by contacting the Director of the Information and Technology Division at the Center for Juvenile Justice Training & Research at Shippensburg University, 717-477-1412. The report is available on the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's website at <u>www.jcjc.state.pa.us</u>.









Delinquency Dispositions, 2007-2011

There were 32,544 delinquency-related dispositions in Pennsylvania during 2011. This represents an 11.2% decrease from 2010 and a 28.6% decrease since 2007. A majority (54%) of the total dispositions occurred in the eight jurisdictions reporting more than 1,000 dispositions (see map on page 3).

Dispositions resulting from Disposition and Placement Reviews, and Dependency referrals are not included.





In the Commonwealth's largest jurisdictions, Allegheny County reported a decrease from 2010 of 15.3%, while Philadelphia reported a decrease of 9.5%.




Frequencies of Statewide Delinquency Dispositions

Consent Decree, Probation, and Informal Adjustment Consent Decree 20.5% continue to be the most Probation 17.8% frequent dispositions, Informal Adjustment 14.6% representing more than half Fines/Costs Ordered 9.4% (52.9%) of all dispositions. Warned/Counseled/Case Closed 8.0% Informal Adjustment, Placement 7.2% Fines/Costs Ordered, and Dismissed 6.3% Complaint Withdrawn each decreased from 2010 levels Complaint Withdrawn 4.2% of 15.6%, 10.6%, and 5.9%, Transfer to Other Juvenile Court 3.7% respectively. Dispositions Continued on Previous Disposition 3.5% of Dismissed increased Other 2.6% from 3.5% in 2010 to 6.3% Referred to Other Agency/Individual 1.2% in 2011, while Placement Probation w/ Day Treatment 0.7% utilization remained Transferred to Criminal Proceedings relatively stable - 6.8% to 0.3% 7.2% - from 2010 to 2011. **Protective Supervision** 0.0% 0% 10% 15% 20% 5% 25%

This data does not include dispositions resulting from Disposition or Placement Review hearings.

Source of Allegation



The Police and Magisterial District Judges continue to be the primary sources of delinquency allegations – 72.7% and 20% respectively. While Police referrals increased from 70.3% in 2010, Magisterial District Judge referrals decreased from 21.7%.


2011 Pennsylvania Juvenile Court Dispositions Juvenile Court Judges' Commission
Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research



Attorney Representation in Formal Delinquency Proceedings, 2011


Legal representation provided by Public Defenders continued to be the most common form of representation in delinquency proceedings during 2011. Legal representation was provided by Court Appointed or Private Counsel in a little less than 1/4of delinquency proceedings. (An entry of Court Appointed includes cases where counsel. other than the public defender, was appointed by the court.) **Delinquency proceedings** were conducted without defense counsel (waived or not present) in less than 1% of all delinquency proceedings.

A breakdown of dispositions where attorney representation



was waived and where no attorney was present is shown in the accompanying charts. Of the 34 cases in 2011 in which an attorney was waived, 53% (18) of those cases resulted in a disposition of Consent Decree or Probation. Of the 12 cases in which an attorney was not present, approximately 83% (10) of those cases resulted in a disposition of Complaint Withdrawn, Warned, Case Closed, Fines/Costs Ordered, or Dismissed.

The data on attorney representation include only the dispositions that occurred at a formal proceeding, defined as a hearing held before a judge or master, after the filing of a petition. Informally handled cases, reviews, and dependency cases are not included.







Counties with Dispositions Involving Formal Proceedings with Waived Attorney or No Attorney, 2011

Shown below are the counties that reported any dispositions with waived attorney or no attorney present. With the exception of Clearfield and Centre counties, the percentage of proceedings with waived representation is less than 2% of all formal proceedings in each of those counties.

The percentage of proceedings with no attorney present is less than 1% of all formal proceedings in the counties listed.







Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings by Type of Disposition, 2011

Statewide, cases handled through informal proceedings (48 days) were disposed of sooner than cases handled through formal proceedings (90 days). Significant distinctions in time lapse occurred with cases resulting in dispositions of Fines/Costs Ordered (almost 3 times as long for formal proceedings) and Continued on Previous Disposition (more than 4 times as long for formal proceedings).

A formal proceeding is defined as a hearing held before a judge or master, after the filing of a petition. The time lapse is measured from the Date of Allegation to the Date of Disposition.

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.

Formal

(Note: In the 43 cases resulting in a disposition of Informal Adjustment, the petition was withdrawn at the hearing.)

Informal

In cases resulting in a disposition of Probation (7) or Placement (3), the disposition was ordered by the court but no post-petition hearing was held.


TYPE OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS	MEDIAN DAYS
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	103	50.5
PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION		57.5
PLACEMENT	2,332	59.0
CONSENT DECREE	5,456	76.5
TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	1,066	82.0
WARNED, CASE CLOSED		83.0
REFERRED TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL	16	84.0
PROBATION W/DAY TREATMENT		88.0
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT		90.0
PROBATION	5,801	93.0
FINES/COSTS ORDERED		97.0
OTHER DISPOSITIONS	105	105.0
DISMISSED	1,924	114.0
COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN		117.5
CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION		136.0
TOTAL	19,967	90.0

TYPE OF DISPOSITION	NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS	MEDIAN DAYS
REFERRED TO AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL		1.0
CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	158	28.5
FINES/COSTS ORDERED		35.0
TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	149	38.5
PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	1	42.0
OTHER DISPOSITIONS		46.0
INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	4,700	55.0
WARNED, CASE CLOSED	1,673	64.0
COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN		70.0
CONSENT DECREE	1,202	71.0
DISMISSED		75.5
PROBATION	6	140.5
PLACEMENT		353.5
PROBATION W/ DAY TREATMENT	1	807.0
TOTAL	12,577	48.0




Median Time Lapse for Formal vs. Informal Proceedings, 2007-2011

As shown in the charts, the time lapse for formal proceedings has increased by 12.5% since 2010. This increase is related to specific increases in time lapse from 2010 for dispositions of Complaint Withdrawn (88 days in 2010) and Continued on Previous Disposition (79 days in 2010).



The time lapse for informal proceedings has remained fairly consistent since 2007.




Delinquency Offenses



Alleged Offenses, 2007-2011

Alleged offenses have decreased by 9.6% from 2010 to 2011, and have decreased by 35.9% since 2008. Property offenses have shown the largest decrease (13.1%) from 2010, followed by Person offenses (12.6%) and Drug offenses (11.2%); "Other" offenses showed the smallest decrease (2.7%) from 2010. (*The "Other" offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Firearms Offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense)*.

Overall, Person and Property offenses have shown the sharpest decline – decreases of 40.5% and 44.8% respectively – since 2008. Drug offenses have also declined significantly over the same time period, 33.9% overall. The decrease in all "Other" offenses has been less drastic, 20.6% since 2008.




Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions report, the seven most serious charges per disposition have been collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2011 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)



Substantiated Offenses, 2007-2011

Substantiated offenses have decreased by 14.2% from 2010 to 2011. The largest decline occurred in the Drug offenses category (18.1%). Property offenses decreased 14.8%, while Person offenses decreased 13.7% and "Other" offenses decreased 11.4%. (*The "Other" offenses category includes, but is not limited to: Public Order offenses such as Disorderly Conduct or Weapon on School Property; Firearms Offenses; and Certifications from the minor judiciary that a juvenile failed to comply with a lawful sentence imposed for a summary offense).*

Similar to alleged offenses, the total number of substantiated offenses has steadily declined in recent years -31.2% overall since 2008. Substantiated Property and all "Other" offenses have seen the most significant decline (36.3% and 32.9% respectively since 2008). Drug offenses have declined by 29.7% since 2008, while Person offenses have declined by 23.7% during the same time period.




Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions report, the seven most serious charges per disposition have been collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2011 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)




The chart at top right shows the statewide total of the most serious alleged charges from 2007-2011. Only the most serious of these charges per disposition is depicted. The Crime Severity key to the right shows the crime severity ranking employed for coding purposes. For instance, a disposition with an alleged drug charge and none of the other listed alleged charges (rape, robbery, aggravated assault) was counted in the drug category. A disposition with an alleged aggravated assault charge, and no alleged rape or robbery charges was counted in the aggravated assault category. A disposition with an alleged robbery charge and no alleged rape charge was counted in the robbery category. Any disposition with an alleged rape charge was counted in the rape category.


There were decreases in each of the most serious alleged charge categories from 2010 to 2011. Alleged aggravated assaults decreased by 10.2%, robbery and drug charges decreased by 9.2% and 8.8% respectively, while rape charges decreased by 4.4%.



Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions report, the seven most serious charges per disposition have been collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2011 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)



Note: (Beginning with the 1997 juvenile court dispositions report, the seven most serious charges per disposition have been collected. For this reason, the reader is cautioned not to compare the 2011 charge information to reports prior to 1997.)



The chart at left shows the most serious substantiated charges. The same Crime Severity Ranking methodology utilized for coding alleged offenses was employed here.

Similar to alleged charges, each category of substantiated offenses also decreased from 2010 to 2011. Substantiated robberies decreased by 20.9%, and rape charges decreased by 15.9%, while aggravated assault and drug charges decreased by 12.6% and 12.5% respectively.



Secure Detention Overview

The Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's "Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under the Juvenile Act" were developed to guide decision making regarding juvenile detention usage in Pennsylvania's juvenile justice system. Compliance with these standards is required for counties participating in the Commission's Grant-in-Aid Program, with the exception of Philadelphia.

In accordance with the Standards Governing Secure Detention, all counties report their secure detention admissions to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission. Detention information appearing in this Disposition Report was extracted from either the Pennsylvania Juvenile Case Management System or the secure detention database at the Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research. The secure detention database is populated with data reported directly from juvenile detention centers each month.

When interpreting the data contained in these tables, the reader should note the following:


- 1. The unit of count is the admission of a juvenile to a secure detention center;
- 2. A juvenile who is admitted to a secure detention center and subsequently transferred to another secure detention center without release is counted as two admissions.



Secure Detention Admissions, 2007-2011

Statewide, detention admissions have declined each year since 2007. This represents a 20.4% overall decrease since 2007. Admissions in all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia also decreased each year, representing an even more significant overall decrease -35.6% since 2007.

Philadelphia and Allegheny County account for 35.2% and 21.9%, respectively, of all secure detention admissions.



Detention admission trends in Allegheny County and Philadelphia were not as stable over this time period as in other jurisdictions. While Allegheny County and Philadelphia reported similar net increases in detention admissions from 2007 to 2009 (5.0% and 5.3%, respectively), in 2008 Allegheny County had a low in detention admissions, while Philadelphia reported its highest number, for the time period. From 2007 to 2011, there was a 4.7% increase in detention admissions in Allegheny County, and a 7.8% decrease in Philadelphia.

PERCENTAGE CHANGE OF DETENTION ADMISSIONS				
	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11
STATEWIDE	-3.1	-7.6	-3.6	-7.8%
ALLEGHENY	-1.8	+6.9	+0.5	-0.7%
PHILADELPHIA	+11.1	-5.2	-4.9	-7.9%
REMAINING COUNTIES	-11.6	-14.4	-4.3	-11.0%



Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2011

In 2011, the statewide median length of stay in secure detention was 6 days, which is a decrease of 3 days from 2010. The table below shows the median length of stay by county, from greatest to least number of days. Multiple counties with identical length of stay are listed alphabetically. This data, sorted by greatest to least number of admissions, can be found in the appendix.

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS		COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGT OF STAY IN DAY
SULLIVAN	2	51.0		LYCOMING	123	9.5
BUTLER	7	48.0		CUMBERLAND	59	9.0
PIKE	14	35.5		LEBANON	73	9.0
UNION	4	26.5		LUZERNE	107	9.0
LANCASTER	333	22.0		SUSQUEHANNA	2	9.0
MONTGOMERY	431	21.0	i	FAYETTE	46	8.5
MCKEAN	2	20.5		BLAIR	44	8.0
CHESTER	346	19.0		DAUPHIN	405	8.0
LEHIGH	432	17.0		INDIANA	18	8.0
NORTHAMPTON	229	17.0		BEDFORD	6	7.0
BERKS	335	16.0		BRADFORD	15	7.0
CAMBRIA	68	16.0		MIFFLIN	34	7.0
HUNTINGDON	19	15.0		SCHUYLKILL	29	7.0
COLUMBIA	14	14.5		CARBON	8	5.5
POTTER	8	14.0		JUNIATA	16	5.5
WESTMORELAND	187	14.0		ADAMS	25	5.0
YORK	158	14.0		LACKAWANNA	250	5.0
BEAVER	100	13.0		NORTHUMBERLAND	12	5.0
CLEARFIELD	47	13.0	1	TIOGA	16	4.5
FRANKLIN	35	13.0		ALLEGHENY	3,362	4.0
MONROE	113	13.0		JEFFERSON	4	3.0
WAYNE	4	12.5		ARMSTRONG	4	2.0
BUCKS	704	12.0		PHILADELPHIA	5,368	2.0
CRAWFORD	1	12.0		CAMERON	0	0.0
DELAWARE	1,096	12.0		CLARION	0	0.0
ELK	6	12.0		FOREST	0	0.0
ERIE	278	12.0		FULTON	0	0.0
SOMERSET	17	12.0		GREENE	0	0.0
CENTRE	32	11.5		LAWRENCE	0	0.0
CLINTON	22	11.5		MERCER	0	0.0
PERRY	23	11.0		MONTOUR	0	0.0
WYOMING	9	11.0		VENANGO	0	0.0
SNYDER	10	10.0		WARREN	0	0.0
WASHINGTON	200	10.0		TOTAL	15,312	6.0

NOTE: This table only includes those admissions for which a date of release was reported.

* Includes 334 secure detention admissions to Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (100);Butler (7);Fayette (28);Washington (199).



Codes Related to JCJC Standards Governing the Use of Secure Detention Under The Juvenile Act


Each month, all juvenile detention centers, with the exception of the Youth Study Center in Philadelphia, report a Statement of Facts and Reasons that must accompany every probation-authorized admission to secure detention. Below are the Section Codes related to this process. Philadelphia data is not included in any charts presenting information pertaining to particular JCJC Detention Standard Codes.

100 Codes	Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of an alleged offense or on the basis of an alleged offense and the child's current status with the court or prior record.
200 Codes	Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of a child's status as an absconder or fugitive; on the basis of a child's record of failing to appear at previous juvenile proceedings; or because of extraordinary circumstances which require secure detention to prevent a child from absconding.
300 Code s	Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the written request of the child or child's attorney.
400 Codes	Circumstances under which secure detention may be ordered pending disposition, subsequent to a finding that a child committed a delinquent act or is a delinquent child.
500 Codes	Circumstances under which secure detention may be ordered following disposition pending transfer to placement.
600 Codes	Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of the child's status, pending or subsequent to a disposition review proceeding.
Code 701	Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes

In 2011, the overwhelming majority of secure detention admissions were authorized under the 100 and 200 Codes – 29.7 and 48.5% respectively. This trend has remained constant since 2007.




Special attention is given to the utilization of Codes 206, 701, and Unknown. In instances where secure detention is authorized under Codes 206 or 701, the juvenile probation officer is required to provide a detailed written explanation of the reasons that necessitate secure detention. These codes were intended to be utilized in limited circumstances where none of the other codes are applicable.

Code 206 - The child presents extraordinary circumstances requiring secure detention to prevent him/her from absconding. (Such circumstances may include, but are not limited to: the child's age, character, mental condition, ties to the community, the nature of the child's family relationships, drug or alcohol addiction and substance abuse.)

Code 701 - Circumstances under which secure detention may be authorized on the basis of extraordinary and exceptional circumstances.


The reporting of Unknown, as shown in this report, indicates that a code was not reported to the JCJC. Secure detention admissions that are authorized without codes are not in compliance with JCJC Standards.

The utilization of Codes 206, 701, as well as admissions with an Unknown code, accounted for 49.7% of all secure detention admissions in 2011. Since 2007, the utilization of Code 206 has increased each year – from 33.1% of total admissions in 2007 to 37.5% in 2011. Conversely, however, the utilization of Code 701 as a percentage of total admissions has decreased over the same time period – from 9.5% in 2007 to 6.1% in 2011.






Utilization Rates for Pennsylvania Juvenile Detention Centers, 2011





Delinquency Placements including Disposition Reviews, 2007-2011

Statewide, delinquency placements have declined each year since 2007, with an overall decrease of 29.1%. Placements in all counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia decreased each year since 2007 representing an overall decrease of 29.5% in these jurisdictions. Delinquency placements in Allegheny County increased




slightly (0.6%) from 2010 to 2011; however, since 2007, placements show a decrease of 23.6%. Placements in Philadelphia peaked in 2008, and then decreased significantly (34.0%) from 2010 to 2011.

Delinquency Placements as a Percent of Dispositions, 2007-2011

The number of placements as a percentage of total dispositions, including disposition reviews, decreased from 2010 (8.7%) to 2011 (8.3%). The largest decrease occurred in Philadelphia - 7.4% to 6.2%. Allegheny County placements, as a percentage of total dispositions, increased only slightly from 6.7% in 2010 to 7.2% in 2011. In all other counties other than Allegheny and Philadelphia, the percentage has remained fairly stable, at approximately 10% since 2009.

See the map on the next page for individual county delinquency placements as a percentage of total dispositions.



a % of Dispositions, 2011 Including Disposition Reviews **Delinquency Placements as**



unadelphia 6,2% Pike 16.0% Bucks 14.9% **Montgomery** Northampton 10.1% Wayne 2.1% Monroe 24.4% 12.4% Dela-ware 10.1% Lehigh 10.5% Chester 9.0% Susquehanna Carboi 8.4% Berks 6.7% Luzerne 8.9% Wyoming 2.4% Schuylkill 12.3% Lancastei 8.8% 15.1% - 20.0% Columbia ebanon 7.7% 8.5% Sullivan 0.0% 0 Bradford 5.7% IO.3% York 8.4% Lycoming 12.0% Union 10.8% 4.3% Adams 4.6% Perry 13.3% Cumberland Tioga 7.8% CULLUNCO SCI Clinton 6.6% 7.1% - 10.0% Franklin 11.5% Centre 7.6% Huntingdon 10.3% Potter 4.3% Fulton 12.9% Blair 4.5% Clearfield 13.4% Bedford 21.1% McKean 4.0% EIk 8.1% Cambria 4.4% 0.0% - 5.0% Jefferson 22.0% Somerset 1.8% Indiana 3.1% Forest 10.0% Warren 10.9% Westmoreland 10.1% Armstrong 7.1% Clarion 13.4% Fayette 6.0% Venango 10.1% Butler 10.6% Allegheny 7.2% Erie 25.5% Crawford 8.5% Washington 13.9% Greene 5.7% Mercer 14.1% awrence Beaver 9.8% 5.6%





New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements

The following chart depicts the relative percentage of placements that resulted from new allegations versus disposition review proceedings. A disposition review is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement.

The percentage of both new allegations and disposition reviews of total placements is shown for each county by Class County.

Statewide, the majority of delinquency placements (56.2%) were ordered as a result of a disposition review. This figure, however, is significantly impacted by Philadelphia, which accounts for 28.1% of all delinquency placements statewide, and where 81.3% of all delinquency placements were ordered as a result of a disposition review.

Across all other Class County groups, the average distribution of delinquency placements is 53.6% from new allegations and 46.4% from disposition reviews. Philadelphia, Allegheny and Class 7 are the only Class County groups with a majority of delinquency placements originating from disposition reviews.

COUNTY	NEW ALLEGATION PLACEMENTS	DISPOSITION REVIEW PLACEMENTS	TOTAL PLACEMENTS
CLASS 1			
PHILADELPHIA	18.7%	81.3%	1,497
CLASS 2			
ALLEGHENY	46.6%	53.4%	524
CLASS 2A (AVERAGE)	51.0%	49.0%	
BUCKS	27.2%	72.8%	261
DELAWARE	40.4%	59.6%	349
MONTGOMERY	85.4%	14.6%	219
CLASS 3 (AVERAGE)	56.1%	43.9%	
BERKS	55.9%	44.1%	111
CHESTER	45.3%	54.7%	128
DAUPHIN	26.2%	73.8%	206
ERIE	74.7%	25.3%	221
LACKAWANNA	47.1%	52.9%	34
LANCASTER	83.3%	16.7%	96
LEHIGH	76.0%	24.0%	196
LUZERNE	42.3%	57.7%	78
NORTHAMPTON	58.0%	42.0%	88
WESTMORELAND	44.3%	55.7%	97
YORK	63.9%	36.1%	191
CLASS 4 (AVERAGE)	52.6%	47.4%	
BEAVER	46.7%	53.3%	45
BUTLER	62.0%	38.0%	50
CAMBRIA	70.0%	30.0%	20
CUMBERLAND	32.1%	67.9%	28
FAYETTE	66.7%	33.3%	21
SCHUYLKILL	45.7%	54.3%	46
WASHINGTON	44.8%	55.2%	87
CLASS 5 (AVERAGE)	52.8%	47.2%	
BLAIR	35.3%	64.7%	17
CENTRE	75.0%	25.0%	12
FRANKLIN	53.4%	46.6%	58
LAWRENCE	19.0%	81.0%	21
LEBANON	67.9%	32.1%	28
LYCOMING	31.3%	68.7%	83
MERCER	73.0%	27.0%	63
MONROE	74.6%	25.4%	118
NORTHUMBERLAND	45.5%	54.5%	22



New Allegation Placements vs. Disposition Review Placements continued

COUNTY	NEW ALLEGATION PLACEMENTS	DISPOSITION REVIEW PLACEMENTS	TOTAL PLACEMENTS
CLASS 6 (AVERAGE)	59.0%	41.0%	
ADAMS	52.9%	47.1%	17
ARMSTRONG	87.5%	12.5%	8
BEDFORD	58.3%	41.7%	12
BRADFORD	44.4%	55.6%	9
CARBON	69.2%	30.8%	13
CLARION	33.3%	66.7%	18
CLEARFIELD	66.7%	33.3%	15
CLINTON	63.6%	36.4%	11
COLUMBIA	57.1%	42.9%	14
CRAWFORD	85.0%	15.0%	20
ELK	83.3%	16.7%	6
GREENE	0.0%	100.0%	5
HUNTINGDON	100.0%	0.0%	8
INDIANA	100.0%	0.0%	5
JEFFERSON	54.2%	45.8%	24
MCKEAN	60.0%	40.0%	5
MIFFLIN	61.5%	38.5%	13
PIKE	61.9%	38.1%	21
SOMERSET	66.7%	33.3%	3
SUSQUEHANNA	0.0%	100.0%	1
TIOGA	50.0%	50.0%	8
VENANGO	38.1%	61.9%	21
WARREN	72.7%	27.3%	11
WAYNE	50.0%	50.0%	2
CLASS 7 (AVERAGE)	43.0%	57.0%	
JUNIATA	37.5%	62.5%	8
PERRY	85.7%	14.3%	14
SNYDER	16.7%	83.3%	6
UNION	75.0%	25.0%	4
WYOMING	0.0%	100.0%	2
CLASS 8 (AVERAGE)	75.0%	25.0%	
CAMERON	100.0%	0.0%	2
FOREST	100.0%	0.0%	2
FULTON	100.0%	0.0%	4
MONTOUR	25.0%	75.0%	4
POTTER	50.0%	50.0%	2


Sullivan County is not shown because this county reported zero delinquency placement dispositions in 2011.



Total Delinquency Placements by Type, 2011 Including Disposition Reviews


Delinquency placements to private institutions continue to represent the most frequent type of delinquency placements in 2011 - more than 46% of all placements. Commitments to group homes, as well as drug and alcohol programs, represented 25% of all delinquency placements, while wilderness-based, boot camp and all secure programs (private and DPW/YDC) comprised another 17.7%.

These percentages remained consistent with the 2010 placements.



Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2011

Although the majority of delinquency placements resulted from disposition reviews (see page 21), only 1 in 10 disposition review proceedings resulted in a delinquency placement. The vast majority of disposition reviews resulted in an order of Continued on Previous Disposition. "Withdrawn" indicates that the motion for review was withdrawn at the hearing.





Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2011

A placement review is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which resulted in out-of-home placement.

The majority of delinquency placement review proceedings resulted in an order of "Continued in Previous Placement".

In the small percentage of placement reviews in which a transfer to another placement was ordered, most transfers were to a "private institution".









Selected Dispositions by Gender, 2011

Juvenile Court dispositions in Pennsylvania continue to primarily involve males. As reflected in the charts, the proportion of delinquency dispositions involving males increases as a case penetrates further through the juvenile justice system. The distribution of dispositions by gender for 2011 has remained relatively stable from 2010 figures.

SELECTED DISPOSITIONS	TOTAL
ALL DISPOSITIONS	32,544
CONSENT DECREE	6,658
PROBATION	6,020
PLACEMENTS	2,335
TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	103








Total Dispositions by Age, 2010-2011

Seventeen-year olds accounted for 8,729 (26.8%) of all juvenile delinquency dispositions in 2011, representing the most dispositions for any age group. Juveniles who were age 16 accounted for 7,164 (22.0%), while juveniles who were age 15 accounted for 5,261 (16.2%) of the dispositions. This data remains consistent with that of 2010, in which seventeen year olds accounted for 25.4%, sixteen year olds accounted for 21.1% and fifteen year olds accounted for 15.7% of juvenile delinquency dispositions. Ten-year olds is the only age group in which there was an increase in the number of dispositions in 2011.



This table reflects the age of the juvenile at the time of disposition. Note: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.




Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2011

The following charts reflect the distribution for all juvenile delinquency dispositions in 2011 by the selected variables. JCJC only requires the reporting of educational status and family status variables when a hearing occurs and/or the juvenile is seen by a juvenile probation officer. Therefore, the category "Not Reported" is listed.

Note: The data on pages 27 and 28 does not include disposition and placement reviews.

Educational Status

The vast majority of delinquency dispositions in 2011 were for youth reported as "In School" at the time of disposition. Nearly 61% of youth were reported as having completed grades 9, 10, 11 or 12 or as being in an "Other" educational program. This figure is interesting when contrasted with the data on dispositions by age, where 78% of all dispositions were for youth ages 15-18 or older (typical ages for grades 9, 10, 11 or 12). This gap of 17% could be indicative of low academic performance, and falling behind grade level, which is a common correlate of delinquency. The category of "Other" could include a college or technical school, or an alternative education setting such as home schooling or night classes.




Total Dispositions by Selected Variables, 2011


Family Status

In juvenile delinquency dispositions, youth whose biological parents were reported as Never Married represents the largest group – more than 43%. In only 17% of cases, the biological parents were reported as Married at the time of disposition.

Note: The data on pages 27 and 28 does not include disposition and placement reviews.



The trends regarding the living arrangements of juveniles are as glaring. Nearly 47% of youth resided only with their mother, while only 17.8% of juveniles reside with both biological parents.





Race and Ethnicity

On the following pages, data on race and ethnicity trends related to Juvenile Court dispositions are provided. A few points of clarification regarding the reading and interpreting of this data should be noted.

The Juvenile Court Judges' Commission's Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research collects data on race and ethnicity from each of Pennsylvania's 67 counties. The collection of this data is done in concert with the protocols outlined in *Guidelines for Collecting & Recording the Race and Ethnicity of Juveniles in Conjunction with Juvenile Delinquency Disposition Reporting to the Juvenile Court Judges' Commission* (National Center for Juvenile Justice, 2006). As such, race and ethnicity are collected and recorded as two separate and distinct sets of data.


For the purposes of this disposition report, and for the convenience of the reader to be able to more readily note trends related to both, race and ethnicity are presented within the same charts, graphs, and tables. References to racial or ethnic groups are defined as follows:

White Non-Hispanic	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and White for race
Black Non-Hispanic	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and Black for race
Multi-Racial Non-Hispanic	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and one or more categories for race
Hispanic	reported as Hispanic for ethnicity regardless of reported race
Other and Unknown	reported as non-Hispanic for ethnicity and one of the following races: Asian, Pacific Islander, Native Hawaiian, Native Alaskan, or Native American; or race and ethnicity was reported as unknown

The population data that is included (page 30 and appendix 1) is retrieved from The Center for Disease Control. The category of Multi-Racial is only collected in a census year; therefore this category is not included in the graphs on page 30. However, data on Multi-Racial youth is collected within the Pennsylvania Juvenile Case Management System (PaJCMS), and this category is included in the graphs on pages 31-33.



In 2011, White Non-Hispanic youth represented the largest racial/ethnic category for all delinquency dispositions. However, in comparison to the racial/ethnic distribution of all youth in Pennsylvania, ages 10-17, the representation of Black Non-Hispanic youth is disproportionate: 14.2% of the population versus 38.8% of all dispositions. When excluding Philadelphia and Allegheny County, Black Non-Hispanic youth represent 8% of the youth population, but 26.7% of all delinquency dispositions. Please see the appendix for the percentage of delinquency dispositions by race and ethnicity for each county and by Class County.



In Philadelphia, Black Non-Hispanic youth represented 52.9% of the total youth population and 71.8% of all delinquency dispositions. Allegheny County reported an even more significant disproportion, with Black Non-Hispanic youth representing 19.9% of the total youth population but 66.4% of all delinquency dispositions.

While statewide rates of disproportionality are not evident among Hispanic youth, the sparse distribution of the Commonwealth's Hispanic population impacts the statewide aggregate figures. Counties with significant Hispanic populations should review the appendix for county specific data on total and selected dispositions by race and ethnicity.





Selected Delinquency Dispositions and Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity


The following charts show the distribution of various dispositions and secure detention by race and ethnicity.


For delinquency dispositions that did not result in out of home placement (Informal Adjustment, Consent Decree and Probation), Black Non-Hispanic youth comprise a smaller proportion of cases, while White Non-Hispanic youth comprise a larger proportion of cases, than what is reflected in all delinquency dispositions. Conversely, for dispositions that resulted in an out of home placement (not including disposition and placement reviews), the proportion of both Hispanic (12.9%) and Black Non-Hispanic (45.2%) youth exceeds the representation in all delinquency dispositions.

The data reported on community-based dispositions, out of home placements, and secure detention, by race and ethnicity, indicate that, in 2011, Hispanic and Black Non-Hispanic youth were more likely to be removed from their homes for services and less likely to receive a community-based intervention.













For secure detention, the statewide rate of disproportionality is more significant, with Black Non-Hispanic youth comprising more than 61% of all secure detention admissions in 2011. When excluding Philadelphia and Allegheny County, Hispanic and Black Non-Hispanic youth represent approximately 15% and 40%, respectively, of all secure detention admissions. In Philadelphia, 73% of secure detention admissions involved Black Non-Hispanic youth and 14.9% involved Hispanic youth. In Allegheny County, 83.5% of secure detention admissions involved Black Non-Hispanic youth. Hispanic youth.



The category Multi-Racial is not collected within the secure detention database.

Selected Placements by Race and Ethnicity


The following charts show the distribution of various placement types by race and ethnicity. In 2011, the representation of Black Non-Hispanic youth (56.4%) for all secure placement settings was disproportionate to what is reflected among all placement dispositions, 45.2%.





Demographics





Similarly, Black Non-Hispanic youth comprised approximately 60% of placements to Private Institutions, Wilderness Programs and DPW Open Youth Development Centers. Hispanic youth were disproportionately represented within DPW Youth Forestry Camps.













Dependency Dispositions, 2011

In 1991, the Commission began collecting information on dependency cases that are processed by juvenile probation departments. These figures do not reflect the total number of dependency cases in the Commonwealth, nor do they represent the total number of dependency cases handled by juvenile probation departments. A dependency case is defined as a new referral that is processed by the juvenile probation department and that does not have accompanying delinquency allegations.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WARNED, Counseled, Case Closed	DISMISSED	REFERRED TO ANOTHER AGENCY	INFORMAL Adjustment	CONSENT DECREE	OTHER	PLACEMENT	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION
BEAVER	57	7	13	0	3	0	1	24	9
BERKS	716	633	0	0	0	0	83	0	0
CAMBRIA	113	6	4	2	65	1	0	0	35
CAMERON	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
GREENE	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	136	14	2	1	24	0	4	26	65
NORTHUMBERLAND	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
SNYDER	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
WESTMORELAND	7	2	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1,042	664	19	5	102	1	91	50	110
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	63.7%	1.8%	0.5%	9.8%	0.1%	8.7%	4.8%	10.6%

Only the counties that report dependency dispositions are shown in the tables in this section.



Dependency Dispositions by Age, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	9 & UNDER	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18+	NOT REPORTED
BEAVER	57	23	1	1	0	1	5	5	7	6	0	8
BERKS	716	0	0	1	3	13	61	160	253	207	18	0
CAMBRIA	113	3	0	1	2	9	27	30	40	1	0	0
CAMERON	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
DELAWARE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
GREENE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	136	1	0	0	2	9	25	36	47	15	1	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
SNYDER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0
TOTAL	1,042	34	1	3	7	32	120	234	354	230	19	8
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	3.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.7%	3.1%	11.5%	22.5%	34.0%	22.1%	1.8%	0.8%

Age calculated at date of disposition.



Appendices



Population Statistics

County Class and Population

COUNTY	CLASS	TOTAL POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION 10-17	COUNTY	CLASS	TOTAL POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION 10-17
Philadelphia	1	1,536,471	148,755	Adams	6	101,434	10,693
Allegheny	2	1,227,066	111,476	Armstrong	6	68,568	6,766
Bucks	2A	626,854	69,689	Bedford	6	49,739	5,161
Delaware	2A	559,494	60,407	Bradford	6	62,917	6,540
Montgomery	2A	804,210	84,960	Carbon	6	65,154	6,305
Berks	3	412,778	45,220	Clarion	6	40,013	3,594
Chester	3	503,897	57,695	Clearfield	6	81,445	7,733
Dauphin	3	268,977	28,396	Clinton	6	39,208	3,718
Erie	3	280,985	29,345	Columbia	6	67,476	5,740
Lackawanna	3	214,166	20,299	Crawford	6	88,740	9,386
Lancaster	3	523,594	58,041	Elk	6	31,751	3,279
Lehigh	3	352,947	38,265	Greene	6	38,623	3,602
Luzerne	3	320,651	30,591	Huntingdon	6	45,875	4,232
Northampton	3	298,476	30,644	Indiana	6	89,298	7,488
Westmoreland	3	364,471	34,812	Jefferson	6	44,976	4,424
York	3	436,770	46,627	McKean	6	43,222	4,216
Beaver	4	170,414	16,279	Mifflin	6	46,858	4,913
Butler	4	184,848	19,721	Pike	6	56,852	6,848
Cambria	4	143,728	13,004	Somerset	6	77,405	7,205
Cumberland	4	237,892	22,664	Susquehanna	6	43,192	4,459
Fayette	4	136,097	13,103	Tioga	6	42,419	4,083
Schuylkill	4	147,513	13,663	Venango	6	54,683	5,577
Washington	4	208,282	20,163	Warren	6	41,441	4,143
Blair	5	127,099	12,101	Wayne	6	53,004	5,099
Centre	5	154,722	10,750	Juniata	7	24,400	2,633
Franklin	5	150,811	16,286	Perry	7	46,042	5,009
Lawrence	5	90,535	9,072	Snyder	7	39,819	3,903
Lebanon	5	134,311	13,792	Union	7	44,847	3,796
Lycoming	5	116,747	11,034	Wyoming	7	28,406	2,894
Mercer	5	116,205	12,369	Cameron	8	5,010	504
Monroe	5	169,882	20,798	Forest	8	7,589	551
Northumberland	5	94,558	8,792	Fulton	8	14,801	1,622
				Montour	8	18,296	1,721

Potter

Sullivan

8 8

Note: 2011 Bridged-Race Population Estimates retrieved from The Center for Disease Control available online at:

1,819

547

17,453

6,479



Delinquency Dispositions, 2007-2011

The information in this section includes those cases referred to juvenile probation with alleged delinquent offenses. Disposition and Placement reviews, and dispositions involving children alleged to be dependent, are not included.

COUNTY	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	% CHANGE 10-11
ADAMS	419	335	330	324	293	-9.6%
ALLEGHENY	4,692	4,591	4,620	4,237	3,588	-15.3%
ARMSTRONG	236	194	160	132	110	-16.7%
BEAVER	548	543	490	454	410	-9.7%
BEDFORD	74	90	53	52	36	-30.8%
BERKS	1,828	1,607	1,620	1,361	1,353	-0.6%
BLAIR	516	434	448	471	337	-28.5%
BRADFORD	123	94	62	112	142	26.8%
BUCKS	1,790	1,548	1,477	1,277	982	-23.1%
BUTLER	364	316	308	322	278	-13.7%
CAMBRIA	497	500	438	393	361	-8.1%
CAMERON	12	26	25	21	11	-47.6%
CARBON	183	184	157	116	123	6.0%
CENTRE	249	202	167	149	127	-14.8%
CHESTER	1,088	1,041	1,202	952	961	0.9%
CLARION	86	138	77	94	56	-40.4%
CLEARFIELD	133	73	133	121	96	-20.7%
CLINTON	46	53	80	99	108	9.1%
COLUMBIA	286	220	165	159	114	-28.3%
CRAWFORD	203	292	280	234	220	-6.0%
CUMBERLAND	644	598	599	595	592	-0.5%
DAUPHIN	1,548	1,484	1,476	1,417	854	-39.7%
DELAWARE	1,631	2,049	1,948	1,512	1,438	-4.9%
ELK	82	76	68	84	73	-13.1%
ERIE	1,061	971	947	905	798	-11.8%
FAYETTE	383	371	366	327	331	1.2%
FOREST	25	48	25	11	18	63.6%
FRANKLIN	636	628	567	465	393	-15.5%
FULTON	31	21	28	29	29	0.0%
GREENE	177	137	107	77	75	-2.6%
HUNTINGDON	87	75	70	77	77	0.0%
INDIANA	179	150	118	127	146	15.0%
JEFFERSON	178	132	85	119	94	-21.0%
JUNIATA	53	45	42	33	30	-9.1%

Appendix 2

Delinquency Dispositions, 2007-2011

continued

COUNTY	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	% CHANGE 10-11
LACKAWANNA	425	437	397	389	324	-16.7%
LANCASTER	1,494	1,501	1,269	1,017	1,031	1.4%
LAWRENCE	382	366	322	380	352	-7.4%
LEBANON	402	382	335	331	295	-10.9%
LEHIGH	1,521	1,257	1,554	1,449	1,429	-1.4%
LUZERNE	1,066	921	727	727	675	-7.2%
LYCOMING	567	587	568	480	446	-7.1%
MCKEAN	105	113	95	64	77	20.3%
MERCER	303	292	319	336	351	4.5%
MIFFLIN	85	112	75	134	84	-37.3%
MONROE	481	430	444	369	377	2.2%
MONTGOMERY	2,294	2,338	2,679	2,172	1,699	-21.8%
MONTOUR	55	36	29	33	23	-30.3%
NORTHAMPTON	1,089	938	880	780	773	-0.9%
NORTHUMBERLAND	502	582	582	492	448	-8.9%
PERRY	136	129	110	132	100	-24.2%
PHILADELPHIA	8,796	8,572	7,756	6,109	5,530	-9.5%
PIKE	136	126	103	115	105	-8.7%
POTTER	72	42	49	36	45	25.0%
SCHUYLKILL	443	512	398	436	347	-20.4%
SNYDER	174	134	113	111	113	1.8%
SOMERSET	178	123	127	83	112	34.9%
SULLIVAN	16	15	2	7	3	-57.1%
SUSQUEHANNA	80	89	87	87	72	-17.2%
TIOGA	103	137	107	71	77	8.5%
UNION	48	53	56	62	35	-43.5%
VENANGO	181	150	122	156	144	-7.7%
WARREN	144	135	87	96	93	-3.1%
WASHINGTON	911	628	576	533	508	-4.7%
WAYNE	80	101	80	85	87	2.4%
WESTMORELAND	1,219	1,257	1,006	822	626	-23.8%
WYOMING	116	78	66	70	68	-2.9%
YORK	1,881	1,915	1,703	1,632	1,441	-11.7%
TOTAL	45,573	43,754	41,561	36,654	32, 544	-11.2%

Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	% OF STATEWIDE DISPOSITIONS	AGE 10-17 POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION RANK	DISPOSITION RANK PER 100,000	DISPOSITIONS AS A % OF JUV. POP.
ADAMS	293	0.8%	10,693	31	16	2.7
ALLEGHENY	3,588	10.3%	111,476	2	7	3.2
ARMSTRONG	110	0.3%	6,766	39	53	1.6
BEAVER	410	1.2%	16,279	22	24	2.5
BEDFORD	36	0.1%	5,161	44	66	0.7
BERKS	1,353	3.9%	45,220	9	10	3.0
BLAIR	337	1.0%	12,101	28	14	2.8
BRADFORD	142	0.4%	6,540	40	34	2.2
BUCKS	982	2.8%	69,689	4	60	1.4
BUTLER	278	0.8%	19,721	20	59	1.4
CAMBRIA	361	1.0%	13,004	26	15	2.8
CAMERON	11	0.0%	504	67	33	2.2
CARBON	123	0.4%	6,305	41	41	2.0
CENTRE	127	0.4%	10,750	30	63	1.2
CHESTER	961	2.7%	57,695	7	52	1.7
CLARION	56	0.2%	3,594	58	56	1.6
CLEARFIELD	96	0.3%	7,733	35	62	1.2
CLINTON	108	0.3%	3,718	56	11	2.9
COLUMBIA	114	0.3%	5,740	42	40	2.0
CRAWFORD	220	0.6%	9,386	32	29	2.3
CUMBERLAND	592	1.7%	22,664	16	18	2.6
DAUPHIN	854	2.4%	28,396	15	9	3.0
DELAWARE	1,438	4.1%	60,407	5	27	2.4
ELK	73	0.2%	3,279	59	31	2.2
ERIE	798	2.3%	29,345	14	17	2.7
FAYETTE	331	0.9%	13,103	25	21	2.5
FOREST	18	0.1%	551	65	6	3.3
FRANKLIN	393	1.1%	16,286	21	26	2.4
FULTON	29	0.1%	1,622	64	48	1.8
GREENE	75	0.2%	3,602	57	37	2.1
HUNTINGDON	77	0.2%	4,232	50	45	1.8
INDIANA	146	0.4%	7,488	36	42	1.9
JEFFERSON	94	0.3%	4,424	49	36	2.1
JUNIATA	30	0.1%	2,633	61	64	1.1



Delinquency Dispositions as a Percent of Juvenile Population, 2011

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	% OF STATEWIDE DISPOSITIONS	AGE 10-17 POPULATION	JUVENILE POPULATION RANK	DISPOSITION RANK PER 100,000	DISPOSITIONS AS A % OF JUV. POP.
LACKAWANNA	324	0.9%	20,299	18	55	1.6
LANCASTER	1,031	2.9%	58,041	6	49	1.8
LAWRENCE	352	1.0%	9,072	33	4	3.9
LEBANON	295	0.8%	13,792	23	35	2.1
LEHIGH	1,429	4.1%	38,265	10	5	3.7
LUZERNE	675	1.9%	30,591	13	32	2.2
LYCOMING	446	1.3%	11,034	29	3	4.0
MCKEAN	77	0.2%	4,216	51	44	1.8
MERCER	351	1.0%	12,369	27	13	2.8
MIFFLIN	84	0.2%	4,913	47	50	1.7
MONROE	377	1.1%	20,798	17	46	1.8
MONTGOMERY	1,699	4.9%	84,960	3	38	2.0
MONTOUR	23	0.1%	1,721	63	61	1.3
NORTHAMPTON	773	2.2%	30,644	12	22	2.5
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	1.3%	8,792	34	2	5.1
PERRY	100	0.3%	5,009	46	39	2.0
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	17.0%	148,755	1	1	3.7
PIKE	105	0.3%	6,848	38	58	1.5
POTTER	45	0.1%	1,819	62	25	2.5
SCHUYLKILL	347	1.0%	13,663	24	20	2.5
SNYDER	113	0.3%	3,903	54	12	2.9
SOMERSET	112	0.3%	7,205	37	57	1.6
SULLIVAN	3	0.0%	547	66	67	0.5
SUSQUEHANNA	72	0.2%	4,459	48	54	1.6
TIOGA	77	0.2%	4,083	53	43	1.9
UNION	35	0.1%	3,796	55	65	0.9
VENANGO	144	0.4%	5,577	43	19	2.6
WARREN	93	0.3%	4,143	52	30	2.2
WASHINGTON	508	1.5%	20,163	19	23	2.5
WAYNE	87	0.2%	5,099	45	51	1.7
WESTMORELAND	626	1.8%	34,812	11	47	1.8
WYOMING	68	0.2%	2,894	60	28	2.3
YORK	1,441	4.1%	46,627	8	8	3.1
TOTALS	32,544	100.0%	1,289,016			25



COUNTY	total Dispos.	TRANSFERRED To criminal Proceedings	TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN	WARNED, CASE CLOSED	INFORMAL Adjustment	FINES/ COSTS ORDERED	DISMISSED
ADAMS	293	0	6	24	10	109	7	4
ALLEGHENY	3,588	3	29	391	253	716	0	643
ARMSTRONG	110	1	7	3	8	34	14	1
BEAVER	410	0	8	25	6	110	2	67
BEDFORD	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
BERKS	1,353	1	31	27	418	243	56	13
BLAIR	337	1	5	10	5	29	131	4
BRADFORD	142	6	0	6	38	10	2	3
BUCKS	982	1	61	55	6	155	121	6
BUTLER	278	3	43	22	5	22	4	4
CAMBRIA	361	3	23	11	1	3	59	54
CAMERON	11	0	0	0	2	2	1	1
CARBON	123	0	12	4	29	0	7	2
CENTRE	127	0	11	3	0	0	2	2
CHESTER	961	0	36	49	23	169	251	5
CLARION	56	0	5	8	4	4	2	1
CLEARFIELD	96	0	12	1	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	108	0	1	1	31	15	3	2
COLUMBIA	114	1	9	3	9	51	0	0
CRAWFORD	220	2	16	2	1	36	1	8
CUMBERLAND	592	0	61	45	172	130	4	22
DAUPHIN	854	7	35	29	55	138	105	76
DELAWARE	1,438	6	71	60	110	6	47	33
ELK	73	0	0	10	0	15	0	2
ERIE	798	2	15	29	59	240	3	6
FAYETTE	331	0	3	2	110	20	2	9
FOREST	18	0	4	1	0	0	0	1
FRANKLIN	393	1	21	44	4	41	38	4
FULTON	29	0	8	1	0	0	2	0
GREENE	75	0	5	0	3	32	0	0
HUNTINGDON	77	0	6	0	1	4	2	10
INDIANA	146	0	15	11	3	26	37	6
JEFFERSON	94	0	9	2	0	3	2	0
JUNIATA	30	0	0	0	2	0	2	1

continued

COUNTY	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	REFERRAL TO AGENCY/ INDIVIDUAL	CONSENT DECREE*	PROBATION	PROBATION W/DAY TREATMENT	CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER DISPOSITIONS	PLACEMENT
ADAMS	0	0	65	56	0	0	3	9
ALLEGHENY	0	0	778	280	113	2	136	244
ARMSTRONG	0	0	14	12	0	4	5	7
BEAVER	0	0	119	39	5	4	4	21
BEDFORD	0	0	13	15	0	0	0	7
BERKS	0	16	252	104	0	82	48	62
BLAIR	0	1	96	19	0	25	5	6
BRADFORD	0	0	44	24	0	1	4	4
BUCKS	1	0	270	181	0	22	32	71
BUTLER	0	0	59	64	9	4	8	31
CAMBRIA	0	0	123	70	0	0	0	14
CAMERON	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
CARBON	0	0	37	18	1	0	4	9
CENTRE	0	0	58	30	0	12	0	9
CHESTER	0	0	65	284	0	0	21	58
CLARION	0	0	9	17	0	0	0	6
CLEARFIELD	0	0	23	50	0	0	0	10
CLINTON	0	1	16	14	0	9	8	7
COLUMBIA	0	4	5	20	3	0	1	8
CRAWFORD	0	0	33	97	0	0	7	17
CUMBERLAND	0	1	130	13	2	0	3	9
DAUPHIN	0	0	145	149	5	6	50	54
DELAWARE	0	0	293	607	0	23	41	141
ELK	0	0	37	1	0	0	3	5
ERIE	0	0	83	140	38	9	9	165
FAYETTE	0	0	94	38	2	24	13	14
FOREST	0	0	7	1	0	1	1	2
FRANKLIN	0	2	104	98	0	1	4	31
FULTON	0	0	8	6	0	0	0	4
GREENE	0	0	11	24	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	0	0	33	12	0	0	1	8
INDIANA	1	0	13	14	4	4	7	5
JEFFERSON	0	0	40	19	0	1	5	13
JUNIATA	0	1	9	10	0	0	2	3



continued

COUNTY	TOTAL Dispos.	TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS	TRANSFER TO OTHER COURT	COMPLAINT WITHDRAWN	WARNED, CASE CLOSED	INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT	FINES/ COSTS ORDERED	DISMISSED
LACKAWANNA	324	5	6	19	1	109	0	2
LANCASTER	1,031	4	23	116	88	281	0	7
LAWRENCE	352	0	12	14	20	169	0	19
LEBANON	295	4	22	5	6	44	3	6
LEHIGH	1,429	4	49	25	197	239	97	11
LUZERNE	675	2	17	15	36	140	218	7
LYCOMING	446	10	18	15	0	127	1	3
MCKEAN	77	0	6	3	3	17	1	1
MERCER	351	1	31	18	12	42	29	19
MIFFLIN	84	6	3	0	0	11	7	3
MONROE	377	1	23	5	1	13	2	73
MONTGOMERY	1,699	3	127	37	1	216	678	14
MONTOUR	23	1	1	0	1	2	1	0
NORTHAMPTON	773	2	73	16	46	180	33	6
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	4	33	5	19	99	130	0
PERRY	100	1	7	3	8	24	1	2
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	4	7	9	555	121	0	813
PIKE	105	0	10	2	0	0	37	0
POTTER	45	1	5	5	0	0	1	0
SCHUYLKILL	347	0	15	19	5	52	134	2
SNYDER	113	1	9	9	25	0	12	1
SOMERSET	112	1	11	17	12	3	2	2
SULLIVAN	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	72	0	3	4	1	11	3	6
TIOGA	77	0	3	3	1	0	16	1
UNION	35	0	2	0	1	7	1	0
VENANGO	144	0	14	30	5	3	0	4
WARREN	93	3	4	1	2	15	5	1
WASHINGTON	508	0	17	30	33	70	157	6
WAYNE	87	0	9	5	23	13	10	0
WESTMORELAND	626	0	38	6	103	98	49	19
WYOMING	68	1	10	1	2	2	9	1
YORK	1,441	6	39	40	23	272	526	28
TOTAL	32,544	103	1,215	1,356	2,598	4,743	3,070	2,048
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	0.3%	3.7%	4.2%	8.0%	14.6%	9.4%	6.3%



continued

COUNTY	PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION	REFERRAL TO Agency/ Individual	CONSENT DECREE*	PROBATION	PROBATION W/DAY TREATMENT	CONTINUED PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER DISPOSITIONS	PLACEMENT
LACKAWANNA	1	2	75	81	0	6	1	16
LANCASTER	0	0	61	361	0	4	6	80
LAWRENCE	0	0	49	58	0	2	5	4
LEBANON	0	0	133	51	0	1	1	19
LEHIGH	0	37	177	388	0	17	39	149
LUZERNE	0	0	74	94	1	23	15	33
LYCOMING	0	0	171	60	12	0	3	26
MCKEAN	0	0	13	27	0	0	3	3
MERCER	2	6	32	103	1	3	6	46
MIFFLIN	0	0	15	23	0	0	8	8
MONROE	0	0	18	139	3	0	11	88
MONTGOMERY	1	0	91	290	0	9	45	187
MONTOUR	0	0	8	7	0	1	0	1
NORTHAMPTON	0	2	95	160	0	40	69	51
NORTHUMBERLAND	0	1	58	45	7	32	5	10
PERRY	0	0	20	22	0	0	0	12
PHILADELPHIA	0	299	1,944	688	0	741	69	280
PIKE	0	0	5	37	0	0	1	13
POTTER	0	0	22	10	0	0	0	1
SCHUYLKILL	0	2	20	48	0	12	17	21
SNYDER	0	16	10	8	0	1	20	1
SOMERSET	0	1	20	36	0	3	2	2
SULLIVAN	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	0	1	24	15	0	0	4	0
TIOGA	0	0	25	10	0	2	12	4
UNION	0	0	9	10	0	0	2	3
VENANGO	0	0	20	46	0	0	14	8
WARREN	0	0	33	13	0	1	7	8
WASHINGTON	0	0	37	102	0	2	15	39
WAYNE	0	0	5	19	0	0	2	1
WESTMORELAND	0	1	131	120	0	1	17	43
WYOMING	0	0	5	33	0	0	4	0
YORK	0	1	166	177	7	5	29	122
TOTAL	6	395	6,658	5,807	213	1,140	857	2,335
% OF TOTAL	0.0%	1.2%	20.5%	17.8%	0.7%	3.5%	2.6%	7.2%

*The following counties had a total of 1,519 cases where the disposition was reported as either deferred adjudication or deferred placement with no reported adjudication of delinquency at the time of disposition. These dispositions have been included with the disposition of Consent Decree. (Bucks-34, Centre-1, Clinton-1, Erie-22, Huntingdon-1, Lackawanna-11, Lebanon-132, Luzerne-10, Lycoming-106, Mercer-8, Montgomery-1, Northumberland-3, Philadelphia-1,187, Wyoming-1, York-1).



Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	PO	LICE	DIST	TERIAL 'RICT DGE	OTH JUVE COI	NILE	PROE	BATION	ОТ	HER
		N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%
ADAMS	293	158	53.9%	116	39.6%	18	6.1%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
ALLEGHENY	3,588	2,153	60.0%	838	23.4%	110	3.1%	4	0.1%	483	13.5%
ARMSTRONG	110	66	60.0%	35	31.8%	9	8.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	410	293	71.5%	101	24.6%	13	3.2%	1	0.2%	2	0.5%
BEDFORD	36	36	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	1,353	743	54.9%	558	41.2%	38	2.8%	2	0.1%	12	0.9%
BLAIR	337	171	50.7%	126	37.4%	16	4.7%	2	0.6%	22	6.5%
BRADFORD	142	104	73.2%	4	2.8%	2	1.4%	32	22.5%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	982	729	74.2%	201	20.5%	38	3.9%	9	0.9%	5	0.5%
BUTLER	278	256	92.1%	3	1.1%	12	4.3%	0	0.0%	7	2.5%
CAMBRIA	361	262	72.6%	84	23.3%	8	2.2%	1	0.3%	6	1.7%
CAMERON	11	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	123	86	69.9%	26	21.1%	10	8.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%
CENTRE	127	127	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	961	694	72.2%	233	24.2%	33	3.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
CLARION	56	42	75.0%	8	14.3%	6	10.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	96	95	99.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
CLINTON	108	62	57.4%	35	32.4%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	10	9.3%
COLUMBIA	114	57	50.0%	45	39.5%	12	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	220	172	78.2%	31	14.1%	13	5.9%	4	1.8%	0	0.0%
CUMBERLAND	592	477	80.6%	85	14.4%	27	4.6%	0	0.0%	3	0.5%
DAUPHIN	854	658	77.0%	165	19.3%	30	3.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
DELAWARE	1,438	1,198	83.3%	174	12.1%	66	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ELK	73	54	74.0%	11	15.1%	8	11.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	798	634	79.4%	143	17.9%	14	1.8%	0	0.0%	7	0.9%
FAYETTE	331	246	74.3%	51	15.4%	21	6.3%	1	0.3%	12	3.6%
FOREST	18	16	88.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	393	308	78.4%	64	16.3%	9	2.3%	0	0.0%	12	3.1%
FULTON	29	23	79.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	20.7%
GREENE	75	42	56.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	33	44.0%
HUNTINGDON	77	72	93.5%	0	0.0%	4	5.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%
INDIANA	146	96	65.8%	32	21.9%	16	11.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.4%
JEFFERSON	94	66	70.2%	10	10.6%	16	17.0%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%
JUNIATA	30	29	96.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%



Delinquency Dispositions by Source of Allegation, 2011

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	PO	LICE	DIST	TERIAL RICT DGE	OTH JUVE COL	NILE	PROE	BATION	ОТ	HER
		N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
LACKAWANNA	324	315	97.2%	0	0.0%	7	2.2%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%
LANCASTER	1,031	784	76.0%	201	19.5%	42	4.1%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%
LAWRENCE	352	175	49.7%	165	46.9%	11	3.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
LEBANON	295	287	97.3%	0	0.0%	8	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	1,429	970	67.9%	386	27.0%	70	4.9%	0	0.0%	3	0.2%
LUZERNE	675	364	53.9%	229	33.9%	39	5.8%	1	0.1%	42	6.2%
LYCOMING	446	307	68.8%	128	28.7%	8	1.8%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%
MCKEAN	77	71	92.2%	1	1.3%	5	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	351	318	90.6%	24	6.8%	8	2.3%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
MIFFLIN	84	73	86.9%	6	7.1%	3	3.6%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%
MONROE	377	260	69.0%	79	21.0%	35	9.3%	2	0.5%	1	0.3%
MONTGOMERY	1,699	950	55.9%	683	40.2%	55	3.2%	0	0.0%	11	0.6%
MONTOUR	23	21	91.3%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	773	623	80.6%	90	11.6%	52	6.7%	0	0.0%	8	1.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	244	54.5%	186	41.5%	15	3.3%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%
PERRY	100	96	96.0%	0	0.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	5,232	94.6%	0	0.0%	170	3.1%	0	0.0%	128	2.3%
PIKE	105	75	71.4%	14	13.3%	13	12.4%	0	0.0%	3	2.9%
POTTER	45	39	86.7%	5	11.1%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	347	195	56.2%	133	38.3%	19	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SNYDER	113	98	86.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	13	11.5%
SOMERSET	112	81	72.3%	24	21.4%	7	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	72	60	83.3%	5	6.9%	6	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%
TIOGA	77	50	64.9%	21	27.3%	6	7.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
UNION	35	26	74.3%	0	0.0%	4	11.4%	1	2.9%	4	11.4%
VENANGO	144	112	77.8%	25	17.4%	7	4.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	93	63	67.7%	24	25.8%	6	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	508	229	45.1%	185	36.4%	26	5.1%	0	0.0%	68	13.4%
WAYNE	87	44	50.6%	31	35.6%	10	11.5%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	626	375	59.9%	144	23.0%	30	4.8%	0	0.0%	77	12.3%
WYOMING	68	26	38.2%	14	20.6%	6	8.8%	0	0.0%	22	32.4%
YORK	1,441	855	59.3%	528	36.6%	56	3.9%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
TOTAL	32,544	23,653	72.7%	6,515	20.0%	1,283	3.9%	74	0.2%	1,019	3.1%

Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2011

COUNTY	NUMBER OF PROCEEDINGS	PUBLIC Defender	%	COURT APPOINTED	%	PRIVATE	%	WAIVED	%	NO Attorney Present	%
ADAMS	149	122	81.9%	21	14.1%	6	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ALLEGHENY	2,660	1,963	73.8%	500	18.8%	197	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ARMSTRONG	40	28	70.0%	7	17.5%	5	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEAVER	151	118	78.1%	23	15.2%	10	6.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BEDFORD	36	31	86.1%	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	552	349	63.2%	165	29.9%	38	6.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BLAIR	170	102	60.0%	55	32.4%	11	6.5%	2	1.2%	0	0.0%
BRADFORD	52	23	44.2%	16	30.8%	13	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	636	494	77.7%	58	9.1%	84	13.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUTLER	192	137	71.4%	40	20.8%	15	7.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMBRIA	252	211	83.7%	26	10.3%	15	6.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMERON	4	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	48	32	66.7%	14	29.2%	2	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CENTRE	123	61	49.6%	28	22.8%	25	20.3%	9	7.3%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	497	390	78.5%	0	0.0%	107	21.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLARION	39	29	74.4%	7	17.9%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	70	49	70.0%	2	2.9%	9	12.9%	10	14.3%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	53	20	37.7%	32	60.4%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
COLUMBIA	45	35	77.8%	2	4.4%	8	17.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	170	117	68.8%	46	27.1%	6	3.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
CUMBERLAND	105	72	68.6%	26	24.8%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DAUPHIN	505	428	84.8%	0	0.0%	77	15.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DELAWARE	1,298	842	64.9%	31	2.4%	407	31.4%	9	0.7%	9	0.7%
ELK	12	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	469	347	74.0%	88	18.8%	34	7.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FAYETTE	71	54	76.1%	15	21.1%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FOREST	8	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	152	6	3.9%	140	92.1%	6	3.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FULTON	17	7	41.2%	7	41.2%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	29	24	82.8%	1	3.4%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	65	60	92.3%	2	3.1%	3	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	60	58	96.7%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	58	55	94.8%	0	0.0%	3	5.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	28	23	82.1%	0	0.0%	5	17.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%



Type of Attorney Representation in Delinquency Proceedings, 2011

continued

COUNTY	NUMBER OF PROCEEDINGS	PUBLIC Defender	%	COURT Appointed	%	PRIVATE	%	WAIVED	%	NO Attorney Present	%
LACKAWANNA	215	149	69.3%	0	0.0%	65	30.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%
LANCASTER	571	390	68.3%	120	21.0%	58	10.2%	3	0.5%	0	0.0%
LAWRENCE	145	143	98.6%	2	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEBANON	241	174	72.2%	59	24.5%	8	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEHIGH	671	546	81.4%	69	10.3%	56	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LUZERNE	261	166	63.6%	37	14.2%	58	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LYCOMING	312	298	95.5%	10	3.2%	4	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MCKEAN	52	46	88.5%	0	0.0%	6	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	270	167	61.9%	83	30.7%	20	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MIFFLIN	67	58	86.6%	3	4.5%	6	9.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONROE	295	189	64.1%	72	24.4%	34	11.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY	742	603	81.3%	0	0.0%	139	18.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTOUR	20	18	90.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	427	316	74.0%	70	16.4%	41	9.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	105	57	54.3%	41	39.0%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PERRY	66	58	87.9%	0	0.0%	8	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	4,989	4,391	88.0%	382	7.7%	215	4.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
PIKE	86	49	57.0%	1	1.2%	36	41.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
POTTER	45	35	77.8%	4	8.9%	6	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	123	86	69.9%	21	17.1%	16	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SNYDER	24	21	87.5%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SOMERSET	62	56	90.3%	1	1.6%	5	8.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	49	36	73.5%	10	20.4%	3	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TIOGA	57	47	82.5%	0	0.0%	10	17.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
UNION	17	11	64.7%	6	35.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	85	8	9.4%	67	78.8%	10	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	38	22	57.9%	14	36.8%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	222	155	69.8%	48	21.6%	19	8.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WAYNE	38	33	86.8%	0	0.0%	5	13.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	398	328	82.4%	35	8.8%	34	8.5%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
WYOMING	55	45	81.8%	0	0.0%	10	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
YORK	400	282	70.5%	96	24.0%	22	5.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TOTAL	19,967	15,288	76.6%	2,615	13.1%	2,018	10.1%	34	0.2%	12	0.1%



Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2011

Time lapse information is calculated from the date of allegation to the date of disposition. Disposition reviews, placement reviews, and dependency cases are not included. Dispositions are grouped into one of two categories: "formal" or "informal". A disposition is counted in the "formal" category if a date was reported in the Date of Hearing field indicating that a hearing before a judge or master was conducted, other than a detention hearing, following the filing of a petition.

COUNTY	TOTAL	-	MAL SITIONS	-	RMAL SITIONS
COUNTY	DISPOSITIONS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS
ADAMS	293	149	74.0	144	27.0
ALLEGHENY	3,588	2,660	106.0	928	110.5
ARMSTRONG	110	40	103.0	70	35.5
BEAVER	410	151	101.0	259	41.0
BEDFORD	36	36	81.0	0	0.0
BERKS	1,353	552	96.0	801	45.0
BLAIR	337	170	56.0	167	52.0
BRADFORD	142	52	124.0	90	58.0
BUCKS	982	636	71.0	346	56.0
BUTLER	278	192	64.0	86	71.0
CAMBRIA	361	252	96.0	109	69.5
CAMERON	11	4	122.0	7	36.0
CARBON	123	48	137.0	75	92.0
CENTRE	127	123	62.0	4	298.5
CHESTER	961	497	134.0	464	119.0
CLARION	56	39	57.0	17	32.0
CLEARFIELD	96	70	131.0	26	125.0
CLINTON	108	53	118.0	55	203.0
COLUMBIA	114	45	70.0	69	65.0
CRAWFORD	220	170	90.0	50	52.0
CUMBERLAND	592	105	73.0	487	61.0
DAUPHIN	854	505	104.0	349	139.0
DELAWARE	1,438	1,298	107.0	140	86.0
ELK	73	12	150.0	61	52.0
ERIE	798	469	156.5	329	66.0
FAYETTE	331	71	89.0	260	34.0
FOREST	18	8	85.5	10	57.0
FRANKLIN	393	152	63.0	241	49.0
FULTON	29	17	92.0	12	40.0
GREENE	75	29	127.0	46	72.0
HUNTINGDON	77	65	107.5	12	108.0
INDIANA	146	60	78.0	86	26.5
JEFFERSON	94	58	44.0	36	32.0
JUNIATA	30	28	67.0	2	616.0



Time Lapse from Allegation to Disposition for Delinquency Cases, 2011

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL	-	MAL SITIONS	-	RMAL SITIONS
COUNTY	DISPOSITIONS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS	TOTAL	MEDIAN IN DAYS
LACKAWANNA	324	215	108.5	109	57.0
LANCASTER	1,031	571	124.0	460	55.0
LAWRENCE	352	145	74.5	207	115.0
LEBANON	295	241	90.5	54	35.0
LEHIGH	1,429	671	60.0	758	59.0
LUZERNE	675	261	145.0	414	86.0
LYCOMING	446	312	24.5	134	32.0
MCKEAN	77	52	100.0	25	41.0
MERCER	351	270	104.0	81	81.0
MIFFLIN	84	67	53.0	17	46.0
MONROE	377	295	107.0	82	57.5
MONTGOMERY	1,699	742	129.0	957	34.0
MONTOUR	23	20	64.5	3	15.0
NORTHAMPTON	773	427	81.0	346	36.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	105	84.0	343	56.0
PERRY	100	66	75.0	34	29.0
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	4,989	63.0	541	2.0
PIKE	105	86	113.0	19	19.0
POTTER	45	45	57.0	0	0.0
SCHUYLKILL	347	123	90.0	224	24.0
SNYDER	113	24	175.0	89	114.0
SOMERSET	112	62	128.0	50	81.0
SULLIVAN	3	3	50.0	0	0.0
SUSQUEHANNA	72	49	63.0	23	57.0
TIOGA	77	57	67.0	20	7.0
UNION	35	17	204.0	18	157.0
VENANGO	144	85	74.0	59	199.0
WARREN	93	38	48.0	55	16.0
WASHINGTON	508	222	52.0	286	42.5
WAYNE	87	38	136.0	49	115.0
WESTMORELAND	626	398	57.0	228	28.0
WYOMING	68	55	76.0	13	118.0
YORK	1,441	400	133.0	1,041	27.0
TOTAL	32,544	19,967	90.0	12,577	48.0

Alleged Offenses by Type, 2011

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
ADAMS	103	265	71	250	689
ALLEGHENY	2,930	2,217	1,246	3,437	9,830
ARMSTRONG	51	99	25	97	272
BEAVER	298	294	72	307	971
BEDFORD	6	88	31	17	142
BERKS	576	630	229	1,078	2,513
BLAIR	213	433	131	405	1,182
BRADFORD	61	110	43	93	307
BUCKS	464	963	562	829	2,818
BUTLER	236	261	187	194	878
CAMBRIA	437	294	118	391	1,240
CAMERON	4	9	1	13	27
CARBON	96	111	56	92	355
CENTRE	94	134	124	129	481
CHESTER	900	1,565	721	1,255	4,441
CLARION	50	38	21	51	160
CLEARFIELD	86	72	23	75	256
CLINTON	31	189	50	70	340
COLUMBIA	76	75	36	126	313
CRAWFORD	156	262	58	145	621
CUMBERLAND	352	420	243	410	1,425
DAUPHIN	455	469	277	721	1,922
DELAWARE	2,715	1,495	955	2,572	7,737
ELK	19	56	36	45	156
ERIE	586	858	266	761	2,471
FAYETTE	189	440	71	282	982
FOREST	20	21	1	11	53
FRANKLIN	193	216	207	259	875
FULTON	39	125	19	341	524
GREENE	28	69	11	57	165
HUNTINGDON	42	70	27	36	175
INDIANA	48	52	96	134	330
JEFFERSON	75	74	72	86	307
JUNIATA	80	20	14	19	133

Appendix 8



Alleged Offenses by Type, 2011

continued

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	301	225	124	156	806
LANCASTER	459	790	344	742	2,335
LAWRENCE	153	194	57	322	726
LEBANON	172	471	151	231	1,025
LEHIGH	890	965	422	1,195	3,472
LUZERNE	298	506	208	784	1,796
LYCOMING	300	402	101	374	1,177
MCKEAN	80	94	15	49	238
MERCER	247	363	90	296	996
MIFFLIN	71	53	45	25	194
MONROE	357	457	108	381	1,303
MONTGOMERY	1,378	1,384	637	1,938	5,337
MONTOUR	8	26	6	15	55
NORTHAMPTON	683	488	282	755	2,208
NORTHUMBERLAND	207	353	127	559	1,246
PERRY	72	140	53	106	371
PHILADELPHIA	8,561	5,476	4,935	6,098	25,070
PIKE	54	149	47	77	327
POTTER	18	35	22	20	95
SCHUYLKILL	203	173	75	353	804
SNYDER	40	182	39	94	355
SOMERSET	76	124	39	114	353
SULLIVAN	0	0	3	0	3
SUSQUEHANNA	32	44	39	48	163
TIOGA	21	56	47	86	210
UNION	9	23	27	26	85
VENANGO	88	65	56	107	316
WARREN	72	64	34	66	236
WASHINGTON	306	246	110	489	1,151
WAYNE	47	103	18	70	238
WESTMORELAND	409	338	215	816	1,778
WYOMING	34	26	21	49	130
YORK	563	1,272	600	1,312	3,747
TOTAL	27,918	27,781	15,197	32,541	103,437
% OF TOTAL	27.0%	26.9%	14.7%	31.5%	100.0%

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.



Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2011

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
ADAMS	15	31	14	12	72
ALLEGHENY	566	412	223	546	1,747
ARMSTRONG	12	7	6	17	42
BEAVER	25	34	9	28	96
BEDFORD	3	15	12	3	33
BERKS	129	170	41	148	488
BLAIR	23	35	19	13	90
BRADFORD	14	13	3	8	38
BUCKS	179	256	205	176	816
BUTLER	38	66	37	58	199
CAMBRIA	46	34	18	62	160
CAMERON	1	1	0	0	2
CARBON	19	31	5	13	68
CENTRE	50	91	98	24	263
CHESTER	155	234	121	161	671
CLARION	20	9	7	10	46
CLEARFIELD	44	54	5	58	161
CLINTON	12	48	25	8	93
COLUMBIA	11	17	9	15	52
CRAWFORD	64	89	28	35	216
CUMBERLAND	22	25	6	5	58
DAUPHIN	188	250	161	375	974
DELAWARE	892	544	304	331	2,071
ELK	2	9	0	8	19
ERIE	260	335	111	285	991
FAYETTE	29	157	12	30	228
FOREST	3	5	0	3	11
FRANKLIN	62	67	62	39	230
FULTON	2	25	12	340	379
GREENE	13	42	4	13	72
HUNTINGDON	20	49	11	17	97
INDIANA	6	9	15	28	58
JEFFERSON	16	17	5	25	63
JUNIATA	45	12	13	13	83

Appendix 9



Substantiated Offenses by Type, 2011

continued

COUNTY	PERSON	PROPERTY	DRUG	OTHER	TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	95	74	26	25	220
LANCASTER	306	389	117	209	1,021
LAWRENCE	23	38	4	28	93
LEBANON	122	393	110	102	727
LEHIGH	401	343	150	260	1,154
LUZERNE	91	200	78	169	538
LYCOMING	61	122	40	50	273
MCKEAN	31	30	8	17	86
MERCER	84	146	47	73	350
MIFFLIN	26	14	23	6	69
MONROE	87	94	36	70	287
MONTGOMERY	580	375	210	288	1,453
MONTOUR	2	10	5	11	28
NORTHAMPTON	154	100	75	104	433
NORTHUMBERLAND	22	56	32	34	144
PERRY	13	19	12	17	61
PHILADELPHIA	957	672	622	472	2,723
PIKE	20	48	18	13	99
POTTER	16	33	22	14	85
SCHUYLKILL	93	86	27	55	261
SNYDER	9	14	6	6	35
SOMERSET	21	62	22	42	147
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	7	9	3	9	28
TIOGA	7	14	18	35	74
UNION	9	5	3	2	19
VENANGO	22	21	13	24	80
WARREN	16	18	6	14	54
WASHINGTON	102	92	21	90	305
WAYNE	22	64	6	23	115
WESTMORELAND	75	54	56	201	386
WYOMING	12	7	6	16	41
YORK	204	530	200	175	1,109
TOTAL	6,676	7,325	3,623	5,561	23,185
% OF TOTAL	28.8%	31.6%	15.6%	24.0%	100.0%

NOTE: Includes most serious seven offenses and counts reported on each case.



Number of Admissions and Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2011*

This table reflects the median length of stay in secure detention. The median is defined as the value above and below which half the cases fall. In 2011, the median length of stay in secure detention was 6 days which is a decrease of 3 days from 2010.

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
PHILADELPHIA	5,368	2.0
ALLEGHENY	3,362	4.0
DELAWARE	1,096	12.0
BUCKS	704	12.0
LEHIGH	432	17.0
MONTGOMERY	431	21.0
DAUPHIN	405	8.0
CHESTER	346	19.0
BERKS	335	16.0
LANCASTER	333	22.0
ERIE	278	12.0
LACKAWANNA	250	5.0
NORTHAMPTON	229	17.0
WASHINGTON	200	10.0
WESTMORELAND	187	14.0
YORK	158	14.0
LYCOMING	123	9.5
MONROE	113	13.0
LUZERNE	107	9.0
BEAVER	100	13.0
LEBANON	73	9.0
CAMBRIA	68	16.0
CUMBERLAND	59	9.0
CLEARFIELD	47	13.0
FAYETTE	46	8.5
BLAIR	44	8.0
FRANKLIN	35	13.0
MIFFLIN	34	7.0
CENTRE	32	11.5
SCHUYLKILL	29	7.0
ADAMS	25	5.0
PERRY	23	11.0
CLINTON	22	11.5



Number of Admissions and Length of Stay in Secure Detention, 2011*

continued

COUNTY	NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS	MEDIAN LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS
HUNTINGDON	19	15.0
INDIANA	18	8.0
SOMERSET	17	12.0
JUNIATA	16	5.5
TIOGA	16	4.5
BRADFORD	15	7.0
COLUMBIA	14	14.5
PIKE	14	35.5
NORTHUMBERLAND	12	5.0
SNYDER	10	10.0
WYOMING	9	11.0
CARBON	8	5.5
POTTER	8	14.0
BUTLER	7	48.0
BEDFORD	6	7.0
ELK	6	12.0
ARMSTRONG	4	2.0
JEFFERSON	4	3.0
UNION	4	26.5
WAYNE	4	12.5
MCKEAN	2	20.5
SULLIVAN	2	51.0
SUSQUEHANNA	2	9.0
CRAWFORD	1	12.0
CAMERON	0	0.0
CLARION	0	0.0
FOREST	0	0.0
FULTON	0	0.0
GREENE	0	0.0
LAWRENCE	0	0.0
MERCER	0	0.0
MONTOUR	0	0.0
VENANGO	0	0.0
WARREN	0	0.0
TOTAL	15,312	6.0

NOTE: This table only includes those admissions for which a date of release was reported. * Includes 334 secure detention admissions to Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (100);Butler (7);Fayette (28);Washington (199).



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2011*

COUNTY	TOTAL ADMISSIONS	100	200	300	400	500	600	701	UNKNOWN
ADAMS	25	8	5	0	2	0	9	1	0
ALLEGHENY	3,362	698	2,515	1	6	2	85	16	39
ARMSTRONG	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	100	22	52	0	0	0	13	0	13
BEDFORD	6	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
BERKS	335	134	101	0	26	6	15	28	25
BLAIR	44	14	26	0	0	1	0	1	2
BRADFORD	15	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	3
BUCKS	704	82	347	0	7	1	26	12	229
BUTLER	7	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	1
CAMBRIA	68	35	17	0	1	1	6	0	8
CAMERON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	8	4	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
CENTRE	32	19	11	0	1	0	1	0	0
CHESTER	346	122	64	2	99	12	17	27	3
CLARION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	47	3	31	0	0	1	1	0	11
CLINTON	22	6	6	0	0	2	1	6	1
COLUMBIA	14	6	6	0	0	0	0	1	1
CRAWFORD	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
CUMBERLAND	59	12	37	0	0	0	4	6	0
DAUPHIN	405	272	46	0	10	8	39	25	5
DELAWARE	1,098	381	500	0	14	1	106	4	92
ELK	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
ERIE	278	73	94	0	8	88	12	3	0
FAYETTE	46	14	10	0	4	8	2	7	1
FOREST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	35	27	7	0	0	0	0	0	1
FULTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	19	7	0	0	1	1	0	7	3
INDIANA	18	5	2	0	0	1	1	8	1
JEFFERSON	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	16	9	2	0	0	0	1	1	3



Secure Detention Admissions by Standard Section Codes, 2011*

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL ADMISSIONS	100	200	300	400	500	600	701	UNKNOWN
LACKAWANNA	252	48	138	0	5	9	11	7	34
LANCASTER	333	169	101	0	4	2	15	42	0
LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	73	19	54	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEHIGH	432	239	59	0	11	11	27	83	2
LUZERNE	107	21	48	0	1	0	2	4	31
LYCOMING	123	48	68	0	0	4	1	1	1
MCKEAN	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIFFLIN	34	13	19	0	0	1	1	0	0
MONROE	113	23	61	0	5	4	5	3	12
MONTGOMERY	431	117	24	0	4	1	9	215	61
MONTOUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	229	70	120	0	14	7	14	1	3
NORTHUMBERLAND	12	3	3	0	0	2	0	0	4
PERRY	23	2	0	0	13	0	8	0	0
PIKE	14	3	5	0	5	0	1	0	0
POTTER	8	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
SCHUYLKILL	29	17	0	0	1	1	4	5	1
SNYDER	10	4	2	0	0	1	3	0	0
SOMERSET	17	7	5	0	0	0	2	1	2
SULLIVAN	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TIOGA	16	3	7	0	0	0	0	4	2
UNION	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	200	80	21	0	3	6	1	85	4
WAYNE	4	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
WESTMORELAND	187	48	85	0	10	7	35	0	2
WYOMING	9	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	1
YORK	158	37	97	0	4	9	10	1	0
TOTAL	9,948	2,951	4,824	3	260	200	491	608	611
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	29.7%	48.5%	0.0%	2.6%	2.0%	4.9%	6.1%	6.1%


NOTE: This table does not include Philadelphia County secure detention admissions. Philadelphia County is under a different reporting requirement for the use of secure detention and therefore does not report using the JCJC detention section codes.

* Includes 334 secure detention admissions to Jefferson Detention Center in Ohio by the following counties: Beaver (100); Butler (7); Fayette (28); Washington (199).



Secure Detention by Selected Codes and Class County, 2011

The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions.




Appendix 12



Secure Detention by Selected Codes and Class County, 2011

continued

The following charts display the utilization of selected codes as a percentage of total secure detention admissions.



Delinquency Placements, 2007-2011

Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	PERCENT CHANGE 10-11	PLACEMENT AS A % OF DISPOSITION
ADAMS	54	36	21	22	17	-22.7%	4.6%
ALLEGHENY	686	587	587	521	524	0.6%	7.2%
ARMSTRONG	11	8	9	7	8	14.3%	7.1%
BEAVER	52	42	40	36	45	25.0%	9.8%
BEDFORD	13	14	15	12	12	0.0%	21.1%
BERKS	301	220	161	157	111	-29.3%	6.7%
BLAIR	20	18	18	14	17	21.4%	4.5%
BRADFORD	11	5	6	7	9	28.6%	5.7%
BUCKS	438	400	350	286	261	-8.7%	14.9%
BUTLER	37	35	37	40	50	25.0%	10.6%
CAMBRIA	10	13	19	15	20	33.3%	4.4%
CAMERON	6	3	1	0	2	0.0%	15.4%
CARBON	27	21	13	10	13	30.0%	8.4%
CENTRE	38	19	27	15	12	-20.0%	7.6%
CHESTER	167	205	173	135	128	-5.2%	9.0%
CLARION	7	24	11	16	18	12.5%	13.4%
CLEARFIELD	13	5	8	13	15	15.4%	13.4%
CLINTON	15	4	14	17	11	-35.3%	6.6%
COLUMBIA	24	11	12	15	14	-6.7%	8.5%
CRAWFORD	14	35	26	24	20	-16.7%	8.5%
CUMBERLAND	39	33	23	18	28	55.6%	3.2%
DAUPHIN	323	257	160	166	206	24.1%	15.6%
DELAWARE	471	486	474	330	349	5.8%	10.1%
ELK	7	8	5	10	6	-40.0%	8.1%
ERIE	251	247	245	217	221	1.8%	25.5%
FAYETTE	25	19	18	14	21	50.0%	6.0%
FOREST	4	2	1	0	2	0.0%	10.0%
FRANKLIN	66	68	65	75	58	-22.7%	11.5%
FULTON	2	4	3	3	4	33.3%	12.9%
GREENE	5	6	9	10	5	-50.0%	5.7%
HUNTINGDON	8	3	6	5	8	60.0%	10.3%
INDIANA	6	7	2	2	5	150.0%	3.1%
JEFFERSON	27	19	9	14	24	71.4%	22.0%
JUNIATA	9	11	8	11	8	-27.3%	19.0%



Delinquency Placements, 2007-2011

Including Disposition Reviews

continued

COUNTY	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	PERCENT CHANGE 10-11	PLACEMENT AS A % OF DISPOSITION
LACKAWANNA	65	76	56	73	34	-53.4%	2.9%
LANCASTER	147	170	115	100	96	-4.0%	8.8%
LAWRENCE	12	14	11	15	21	40.0%	5.6%
LEBANON	32	50	30	42	28	-33.3%	7.7%
LEHIGH	265	263	185	220	196	-10.9%	10.5%
LUZERNE	314	212	106	84	78	-7.1%	8.9%
LYCOMING	85	75	60	53	83	56.6%	12.0%
MCKEAN	8	12	6	7	5	-28.6%	4.0%
MERCER	44	50	44	46	63	37.0%	14.1%
MIFFLIN	21	18	16	12	13	8.3%	13.0%
MONROE	170	116	106	117	118	0.9%	24.4%
MONTGOMERY	299	284	273	296	219	-26.0%	12.4%
MONTOUR	8	9	4	2	4	100.0%	10.3%
NORTHAMPTON	114	128	120	113	88	-22.1%	10.1%
NORTHUMBERLAND	28	26	37	28	22	-21.4%	4.7%
PERRY	9	14	8	10	14	40.0%	13.3%
PHILADELPHIA	2,144	2,404	2,311	2,269	1,497	-34.0%	6.2%
PIKE	22	27	24	24	21	-12.5%	16.0%
POTTER	1	1	1	1	2	100.0%	4.3%
SCHUYLKILL	42	45	55	41	46	12.2%	12.3%
SNYDER	10	11	8	14	6	-57.1%	4.3%
SOMERSET	13	3	13	5	3	-40.0%	1.8%
SULLIVAN	3	2	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	3	11	5	7	1	-85.7%	1.2%
TIOGA	11	17	12	7	8	14.3%	7.8%
UNION	5	7	4	5	4	-20.0%	10.8%
VENANGO	7	11	8	21	21	0.0%	10.1%
WARREN	17	19	9	14	11	-21.4%	10.9%
WASHINGTON	100	73	76	72	87	20.8%	13.9%
WAYNE	10	18	13	10	2	-80.0%	2.1%
WESTMORELAND	103	143	90	131	97	-26.0%	10.1%
WYOMING	16	13	8	7	2	-71.4%	2.4%
YORK	210	247	186	198	191	-3.5%	8.4%
TOTAL	7,525	7,444	6,576	6,281	5,333	-15.1%	8.3%

NOTE: Does not include placement reviews.

Delinquency Placements by Type, 2011

Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE Institution	DPW Open YDC	group Home	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & Alcohol	WILDERNESS Based†	BOOT CAMP	indep Living	OTHER TYPE
ADAMS	17	9	1	0	1	0	0	3	1	1	1
ALLEGHENY	524	245	25	148	0	23	54	14	0	10	5
ARMSTRONG	8	3	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	45	24	0	7	2	1	5	0	0	3	3
BEDFORD	12	2	0	2	0	4	2	1	0	0	1
BERKS	111	62	1	15	3	14	14	0	0	2	0
BLAIR	17	5	0	4	2	3	3	0	0	0	0
BRADFORD	9	2	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	0
BUCKS	261	79	0	32	11	6	81	30	16	2	4
BUTLER	50	20	1	14	3	0	5	0	0	2	5
CAMBRIA	20	7	0	4	4	0	3	1	1	0	0
CAMERON	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	13	9	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
CENTRE	12	5	0	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
CHESTER	128	32	2	13	2	18	19	18	15	8	1
CLARION	18	13	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	15	3	0	6	1	2	1	0	2	0	0
CLINTON	11	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	4	0	1
COLUMBIA	14	11	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
CRAWFORD	20	1	0	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	28	13	0	2	1	0	8	2	2	0	0
DAUPHIN	206	102	7	19	0	40	4	11	2	8	13
DELAWARE	349	194	0	60	3	17	30	11	6	2	26
ELK	6	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
ERIE	221	101	1	56	2	19	42	0	0	0	0
FAYETTE	21	17	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
FOREST	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	58	20	1	0	5	0	3	24	2	2	1
FULTON	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
GREENE	5	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	8	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
INDIANA	5	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	24	6	0	10	3	0	2	0	3	0	0
JUNIATA	8	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	2

† Placements to the Department of Public Welfare Youth Forestry Camps are included in either Wilderness-Based, Boot Camp, Drug & Alcohol, or Other category, depending upon the type of service reported.



Delinquency Placements by Type, 2011

Including Disposition Reviews

COUNTY	TOTAL PLACEMENTS	PRIVATE Institution	DPW Open YDC	group Home	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & Alcohol	WILDERNESS BASED†	BOOT CAMP	indep Living	OTHER TYPE
LACKAWANNA	34	10	0	2	1	1	10	2	6	0	2
LANCASTER	96	21	2	45	2	6	11	2	7	0	0
LAWRENCE	21	8	0	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
LEBANON	28	15	0	0	0	2	2	0	9	0	0
LEHIGH	196	54	23	8	4	16	5	66	17	0	3
LUZERNE	78	40	0	9	0	4	15	8	1	0	1
LYCOMING	83	41	1	3	4	8	1	6	14	5	0
MCKEAN	5	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
MERCER	63	20	1	15	0	7	4	5	9	2	0
MIFFLIN	13	7	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	0
MONROE	118	45	1	1	2	9	8	21	31	0	0
MONTGOMERY	219	120	0	45	9	8	14	16	0	3	4
MONTOUR	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
NORTHAMPTON	88	9	0	43	1	0	30	1	0	1	3
NORTHUMBERLAND	22	3	0	1	3	1	6	3	5	0	0
PERRY	14	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1
PHILADELPHIA	1,497	864	42	54	2	142	133	184	8	17	51
PIKE	21	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	7	0	0
POTTER	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	46	26	3	0	0	1	7	4	5	0	0
SNYDER	6	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
SOMERSET	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	8	4	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1
UNION	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
VENANGO	21	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	0
WARREN	11	8	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	87	42	0	15	1	9	11	0	9	0	0
WAYNE	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	97	26	0	30	0	5	23	3	5	5	0
WYOMING	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
YORK	191	52	7	12	9	35	25	32	9	3	7
TOTAL	5,333	2,466	121	724	88	420	605	470	214	86	139
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	46.2%	2.3%	13.6%	1.7%	7.9%	11.3%	8.8%	4.0%	1.6%	2.6%



Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2011

A *disposition review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition which did not result in out-of-home placement.

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WITHDRAWN	DISMISSED	REFERRED	CONSENT DECREE	PROBATION	CONTINUED ON PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER	PLACEMENT
ADAMS	74	10	0	0	6	1	49	0	8
ALLEGHENY	3,728	1,275	10	0	1	26	2,130	6	280
ARMSTRONG	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
BEAVER	50	0	3	0	0	10	13	0	24
BEDFORD	21	11	1	0	0	3	0	1	5
BERKS	309	32	2	3	30	64	126	3	49
BLAIR	43	0	1	0	0	3	28	0	11
BRADFORD	16	2	0	1	0	2	5	1	5
BUCKS	775	81	0	2	26	131	343	2	190
BUTLER	194	18	0	1	0	25	116	15	19
CAMBRIA	93	26	7	0	0	5	47	2	6
CAMERON	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
CARBON	31	1	0	2	1	0	23	0	4
CENTRE	31	0	0	0	1	14	7	6	3
CHESTER	454	0	0	0	0	17	365	2	70
CLARION	78	19	0	0	1	0	39	7	12
CLEARFIELD	16	0	0	0	0	10	0	1	5
CLINTON	58	4	0	0	0	6	42	2	4
COLUMBIA	51	5	0	4	0	9	26	1	6
CRAWFORD	15	0	0	1	0	0	10	1	3
CUMBERLAND	279	132	0	2	14	3	106	3	19
DAUPHIN	463	12	1	2	107	141	45	3	152
DELAWARE	2,023	336	0	7	2	14	1,442	14	208
ELK	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ERIE	69	0	2	0	0	7	0	4	56
FAYETTE	18	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	7
FOREST	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
FRANKLIN	111	0	0	11	18	12	3	40	27
FULTON	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
GREENE	12	4	0	0	0	1	2	0	5
HUNTINGDON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	17	0	0	0	1	0	13	3	0
JEFFERSON	15	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	11
JUNIATA	12	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	5



Outcomes of Delinquency Disposition Reviews, 2011

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	WITHDRAWN	DISMISSED	REFERRED	CONSENT DECREE	PROBATION	CONTINUED ON PREVIOUS DISPOSITION	OTHER	PLACEMENT
LACKAWANNA	839	68	0	4	3	0	739	7	18
LANCASTER	57	4	0	0	2	35	0	0	16
LAWRENCE	26	0	0	0	0	5	3	1	17
LEBANON	71	14	0	0	11	6	30	1	9
LEHIGH	436	92	1	1	1	15	271	8	47
LUZERNE	203	6	5	1	2	10	128	6	45
LYCOMING	245	19	3	5	30	47	62	22	57
MCKEAN	48	0	0	0	1	7	38	0	2
MERCER	97	10	0	4	0	3	42	21	17
MIFFLIN	16	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	5
MONROE	106	9	0	0	0	6	61	0	30
MONTGOMERY	67	0	0	0	0	4	30	1	32
MONTOUR	16	2	0	0	0	1	9	1	3
NORTHAMPTON	94	5	0	0	0	5	45	2	37
NORTHUMBERLAND	17	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	12
PERRY	5	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
PHILADELPHIA	18,624	3,541	15	23	2,827	261	10,357	383	1,217
PIKE	26	0	0	2	0	5	8	3	8
POTTER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SCHUYLKILL	28	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	25
SNYDER	26	4	0	0	2	3	10	2	5
SOMERSET	56	4	0	0	0	0	51	0	1
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	10	3	0	0	0	0	5	1	1
TIOGA	26	2	0	0	3	3	12	2	4
UNION	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
VENANGO	63	3	0	0	0	0	47	0	13
WARREN	8	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	3
WASHINGTON	119	1	1	0	1	14	49	5	48
WAYNE	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	1
WESTMORELAND	333	189	0	0	0	26	62	2	54
WYOMING	16	1	0	0	0	0	13	0	2
YORK	840	33	0	1	3	632	87	15	69
TOTAL	31,598	5,982	52	79	3,095	1,605	17,173	614	2,998
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	18.9%	0.2%	0.3%	9.8%	5.1%	54.3%	1.9%	9.5%

NOTE: Dispositional outcomes were combined for these tables. *Informal Adjustment* and *Fines* and *Costs* are included in the category titled *Other*. *Transferred to Other Juvenile Court* and *Referred to Another Agency/Individual* are included in the category *Referred. Complaint Withdrawn and Warned*, *Counseled, Case Closed* are included in the category *Withdrawn*. *Protective Supervision* and *Probation with Day Treatment* are included with *Probation*.



Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2011

A *placement review* is a hearing conducted by the court regarding a previous disposition that resulted in out-of-home placement.

	TOTAL		OUTCOME	
COUNTY	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	CONTINUED	RELEASED	TRANSFERRED
ADAMS	47	23	13	11
ALLEGHENY	1,269	637	509	123
ARMSTRONG	14	8	6	0
BEAVER	19	1	5	13
BEDFORD	13	5	7	1
BERKS	258	129	109	20
BLAIR	43	21	18	4
BRADFORD	12	5	6	1
BUCKS	368	165	157	46
BUTLER	61	34	21	6
CAMBRIA	21	7	10	4
CAMERON	0	0	0	0
CARBON	21	7	13	1
CENTRE	28	15	13	0
CHESTER	292	142	115	35
CLARION	29	13	15	1
CLEARFIELD	13	2	11	0
CLINTON	36	22	9	5
COLUMBIA	11	2	9	0
CRAWFORD	44	19	23	2
CUMBERLAND	53	21	28	4
DAUPHIN	208	159	35	14
DELAWARE	562	212	297	53
ELK	4	2	2	0
ERIE	387	117	205	65
FAYETTE	26	13	12	1
FOREST	1	1	0	0
FRANKLIN	146	77	65	4
FULTON	10	9	1	0
GREENE	7	2	5	0
HUNTINGDON	5	1	4	0
INDIANA	5	2	3	0
JEFFERSON	7	2	2	3
JUNIATA	19	12	6	1



Outcomes of Delinquency Placement Reviews, 2011

	TOTAL		OUTCOME	
COUNTY	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	CONTINUED	RELEASED	TRANSFERRED
LACKAWANNA	95	32	54	9
LANCASTER	223	113	87	23
LAWRENCE	29	12	17	0
LEBANON	41	33	7	1
LEHIGH	381	199	165	17
LUZERNE	153	55	82	16
LYCOMING	122	29	75	18
MCKEAN	25	16	8	1
MERCER	89	37	41	11
MIFFLIN	21	11	9	1
MONROE	199	98	86	15
MONTGOMERY	537	262	223	52
MONTOUR	8	6	1	1
NORTHAMPTON	105	91	8	6
NORTHUMBERLAND	34	21	5	8
PERRY	7	5	2	0
PHILADELPHIA	8,494	6,069	2,149	276
PIKE	26	13	13	0
POTTER	4	1	3	0
SCHUYLKILL	43	39	1	3
SNYDER	21	9	10	2
SOMERSET	2	0	1	1
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	12	6	3	3
TIOGA	20	12	6	2
UNION	8	6	2	0
VENANGO	50	29	17	4
WARREN	15	14	1	0
WASHINGTON	187	68	82	37
WAYNE	32	19	9	4
WESTMORELAND	254	101	93	60
WYOMING	10	7	2	1
YORK	424	239	142	43
TOTAL	15,710	9,539	5,138	1,033
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	60.7%	32.7%	6.6%

Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL Placements	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP HOME	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & Alcohol	WILDERNESS BASED	BOOT CAMP	indep. Living	other Type
ADAMS	11	3	1	2	4	0	1	0	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	123	36	15	29	0	18	10	3	0	12	0
BEAVER	13	6	0	1	0	3	2	0	0	1	0
BEDFORD	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
BERKS	20	14	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	0
BLAIR	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
BRADFORD	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
BUCKS	46	13	1	6	10	5	4	2	2	3	0
BUTLER	6	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	4	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	35	10	0	6	1	10	5	1	2	0	0
CLARION	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	5	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
CRAWFORD	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	4	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
DAUPHIN	14	5	1	1	0	6	1	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	53	35	0	8	0	4	0	1	0	2	3
ERIE	65	26	1	33	2	2	0	0	0	1	0
FAYETTE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
JEFFERSON	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
LACKAWANNA	9	3	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	0
LANCASTER	23	1	1	7	1	7	0	2	0	4	0
LEBANON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEHIGH	17	3	1	2	1	9	0	0	1	0	0



Delinquency Placement Review Transfers by Type of Placement, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL Placements	PRIVATE INSTITUTION	DPW OPEN YDC	GROUP Home	FOSTER CARE	SECURITY	DRUG & Alcohol	WILDERNESS BASED	BOOT CAMP	indep. Living	other Type
LUZERNE	16	7	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	4	0
LYCOMING	18	8	1	0	5	0	0	0	1	3	0
MCKEAN	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MERCER	11	6	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
MIFFLIN	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
MONROE	15	6	1	0	2	4	0	0	2	0	0
MONTGOMERY	52	34	2	11	4	0	1	0	0	0	0
MONTOUR	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	6	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	8	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	276	85	5	49	2	36	19	29	1	40	3
PIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SNYDER	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOMERSET	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
WASHINGTON	37	20	0	6	0	6	3	0	2	0	0
WAYNE	4	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	60	20	0	15	1	4	3	2	1	14	0
WYOMING	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
YORK	43	8	0	1	2	12	1	4	2	9	4
TOTAL	1,033	384	31	184	48	143	57	48	18	102	11
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	37.2%	3.0%	17.8%	4.6%	13.8%	5.5%	4.6%	1.7%	9.9%	1.1%

	TOTAL		10		11		12		13
COUNTY	DISPOS.	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	293	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	6	2.0%	21	7.2%
ALLEGHENY	3,588	24	0.7%	48	1.3%	134	3.7%	255	7.1%
ARMSTRONG	110	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	4.5%	4	3.6%
BEAVER	410	1	0.2%	5	1.2%	18	4.4%	32	7.8%
BEDFORD	36	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	2	5.6%
BERKS	1,353	7	0.5%	15	1.1%	43	3.2%	74	5.5%
BLAIR	337	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	2	0.6%	18	5.3%
BRADFORD	142	2	1.4%	2	1.4%	4	2.8%	10	7.0%
BUCKS	982	1	0.1%	11	1.1%	16	1.6%	46	4.7%
BUTLER	278	1	0.4%	5	1.8%	6	2.2%	18	6.5%
CAMBRIA	361	2	0.6%	4	1.1%	9	2.5%	20	5.5%
CAMERON	11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	123	1	0.8%	4	3.3%	4	3.3%	5	4.1%
CENTRE	127	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	2	1.6%	13	10.2%
CHESTER	961	4	0.4%	11	1.1%	11	1.1%	28	2.9%
CLARION	56	0	0.0%	2	3.6%	2	3.6%	5	8.9%
CLEARFIELD	96	0	0.0%	3	3.1%	4	4.2%	10	10.4%
CLINTON	108	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	7	6.5%
COLUMBIA	114	0	0.0%	2	1.8%	3	2.6%	4	3.5%
CRAWFORD	220	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	3	1.4%	26	11.8%
CUMBERLAND	592	2	0.3%	10	1.7%	26	4.4%	40	6.8%
DAUPHIN	854	3	0.4%	18	2.1%	30	3.5%	68	8.0%
DELAWARE	1,438	7	0.5%	20	1.4%	53	3.7%	82	5.7%
ELK	73	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	3	4.1%	5	6.8%
ERIE	798	4	0.5%	16	2.0%	37	4.6%	58	7.3%
FAYETTE	331	1	0.3%	2	0.6%	11	3.3%	30	9.1%
FOREST	18	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	393	1	0.3%	4	1.0%	27	6.9%	31	7.9%
FULTON	29	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%
GREENE	75	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	4.0%	3	4.0%
HUNTINGDON	77	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%	3	3.9%
INDIANA	146	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	2	1.4%	4	2.7%
JEFFERSON	94	1	1.1%	3	3.2%	2	2.1%	7	7.4%
JUNIATA	30	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%



		14		15		16		17	18 AN	D OVER
COUNTY	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	51	17.4%	44	15.0%	67	22.9%	72	24.6%	30	10.2%
ALLEGHENY	372	10.4%	561	15.6%	727	20.3%	940	26.2%	527	14.7%
ARMSTRONG	13	11.8%	15	13.6%	26	23.6%	35	31.8%	12	10.9%
BEAVER	51	12.4%	70	17.1%	75	18.3%	114	27.8%	44	10.7%
BEDFORD	2	5.6%	2	5.6%	7	19.4%	9	25.0%	10	27.8%
BERKS	158	11.7%	262	19.4%	297	22.0%	373	27.6%	124	9.2%
BLAIR	30	8.9%	55	16.3%	82	24.3%	107	31.8%	42	12.5%
BRADFORD	14	9.9%	22	15.5%	32	22.5%	41	28.9%	15	10.6%
BUCKS	85	8.7%	159	16.2%	218	22.2%	321	32.7%	125	12.7%
BUTLER	33	11.9%	33	11.9%	66	23.7%	81	29.1%	35	12.6%
CAMBRIA	49	13.6%	59	16.3%	73	20.2%	107	29.6%	38	10.5%
CAMERON	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%
CARBON	15	12.2%	20	16.3%	24	19.5%	27	22.0%	23	18.7%
CENTRE	13	10.2%	17	13.4%	20	15.7%	33	26.0%	28	22.0%
CHESTER	71	7.4%	122	12.7%	183	19.0%	247	25.7%	284	29.6%
CLARION	8	14.3%	12	21.4%	11	19.6%	12	21.4%	4	7.1%
CLEARFIELD	9	9.4%	18	18.8%	23	24.0%	20	20.8%	9	9.4%
CLINTON	11	10.2%	20	18.5%	27	25.0%	23	21.3%	18	16.7%
COLUMBIA	14	12.3%	15	13.2%	29	25.4%	37	32.5%	10	8.8%
CRAWFORD	28	12.7%	48	21.8%	45	20.5%	47	21.4%	22	10.0%
CUMBERLAND	56	9.5%	87	14.7%	125	21.1%	177	29.9%	69	11.7%
DAUPHIN	98	11.5%	132	15.5%	169	19.8%	210	24.6%	126	14.8%
DELAWARE	167	11.6%	224	15.6%	317	22.0%	361	25.1%	207	14.4%
ELK	7	9.6%	6	8.2%	25	34.2%	19	26.0%	7	9.6%
ERIE	96	12.0%	123	15.4%	175	21.9%	174	21.8%	115	14.4%
FAYETTE	46	13.9%	58	17.5%	66	19.9%	90	27.2%	27	8.2%
FOREST	6	33.3%	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%
FRANKLIN	47	12.0%	63	16.0%	79	20.1%	112	28.5%	29	7.4%
FULTON	5	17.2%	4	13.8%	5	17.2%	8	27.6%	3	10.3%
GREENE	8	10.7%	11	14.7%	19	25.3%	22	29.3%	9	12.0%
HUNTINGDON	6	7.8%	19	24.7%	14	18.2%	19	24.7%	11	14.3%
INDIANA	10	6.8%	12	8.2%	38	26.0%	57	39.0%	22	15.1%
JEFFERSON	17	18.1%	14	14.9%	21	22.3%	23	24.5%	6	6.4%
JUNIATA	2	6.7%	5	16.7%	7	23.3%	12	40.0%	4	13.3%

COUNTY	TOTAL		10		11		12		13
COUNTY	TOTAL	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	324	1	0.3%	5	1.5%	17	5.2%	24	7.4%
LANCASTER	1,031	6	0.6%	5	0.5%	33	3.2%	64	6.2%
LAWRENCE	352	1	0.3%	3	0.9%	3	0.9%	18	5.1%
LEBANON	295	2	0.7%	3	1.0%	6	2.0%	11	3.7%
LEHIGH	1,429	6	0.4%	17	1.2%	62	4.3%	115	8.0%
LUZERNE	675	6	0.9%	10	1.5%	20	3.0%	37	5.5%
LYCOMING	446	2	0.4%	4	0.9%	18	4.0%	44	9.9%
MCKEAN	77	4	5.2%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%	10	13.0%
MERCER	351	1	0.3%	5	1.4%	14	4.0%	21	6.0%
MIFFLIN	84	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	2	2.4%	3	3.6%
MONROE	377	0	0.0%	4	1.1%	11	2.9%	16	4.2%
MONTGOMERY	1,699	5	0.3%	10	0.6%	57	3.4%	110	6.5%
MONTOUR	23	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	21.7%
NORTHAMPTON	773	4	0.5%	20	2.6%	24	3.1%	54	7.0%
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	3	0.7%	5	1.1%	13	2.9%	27	6.0%
PERRY	100	3	3.0%	1	1.0%	3	3.0%	13	13.0%
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	36	0.7%	107	1.9%	156	2.8%	347	6.3%
PIKE	105	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.9%	4	3.8%
POTTER	45	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	15.6%
SCHUYLKILL	347	5	1.4%	7	2.0%	6	1.7%	18	5.2%
SNYDER	113	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	2.7%	6	5.3%
SOMERSET	112	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	11	9.8%
SULLIVAN	3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	72	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	5.6%	2	2.8%
TIOGA	77	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	3	3.9%
UNION	35	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	8.6%
VENANGO	144	0	0.0%	2	1.4%	7	4.9%	3	2.1%
WARREN	93	0	0.0%	3	3.2%	6	6.5%	6	6.5%
WASHINGTON	508	10	2.0%	10	2.0%	21	4.1%	30	5.9%
WAYNE	87	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	5	5.7%
WESTMORELAND	626	2	0.3%	7	1.1%	18	2.9%	38	6.1%
WYOMING	68	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	2	2.9%
YORK	1,441	5	0.3%	9	0.6%	21	1.5%	78	5.4%
TOTAL	32,544	168	0.5%	435	1.3%	1,008	3.1%	2,067	6.4%



continued

COUNTY		14		15		16		17	18 AN	D OVER
COUNTY	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LACKAWANNA	45	13.9%	41	12.7%	68	21.0%	92	28.4%	31	9.6%
LANCASTER	111	10.8%	176	17.1%	224	21.7%	274	26.6%	138	13.4%
LAWRENCE	30	8.5%	68	19.3%	77	21.9%	86	24.4%	66	18.8%
LEBANON	41	13.9%	45	15.3%	88	29.8%	64	21.7%	35	11.9%
LEHIGH	177	12.4%	230	16.1%	291	20.4%	376	26.3%	155	10.8%
LUZERNE	63	9.3%	89	13.2%	146	21.6%	199	29.5%	105	15.6%
LYCOMING	53	11.9%	85	19.1%	98	22.0%	115	25.8%	27	6.1%
MCKEAN	12	15.6%	13	16.9%	17	22.1%	11	14.3%	6	7.8%
MERCER	31	8.8%	62	17.7%	76	21.7%	103	29.3%	38	10.8%
MIFFLIN	5	6.0%	15	17.9%	25	29.8%	30	35.7%	2	2.4%
MONROE	25	6.6%	71	18.8%	82	21.8%	116	30.8%	52	13.8%
MONTGOMERY	171	10.1%	298	17.5%	397	23.4%	469	27.6%	182	10.7%
MONTOUR	4	17.4%	3	13.0%	4	17.4%	4	17.4%	3	13.0%
NORTHAMPTON	82	10.6%	138	17.9%	191	24.7%	196	25.4%	64	8.3%
NORTHUMBERLAND	45	10.0%	82	18.3%	108	24.1%	131	29.2%	34	7.6%
PERRY	14	14.0%	12	12.0%	25	25.0%	21	21.0%	8	8.0%
PHILADELPHIA	572	10.3%	857	15.5%	1,283	23.2%	1,424	25.8%	748	13.5%
PIKE	6	5.7%	13	12.4%	23	21.9%	36	34.3%	21	20.0%
POTTER	6	13.3%	8	17.8%	8	17.8%	11	24.4%	5	11.1%
SCHUYLKILL	51	14.7%	54	15.6%	69	19.9%	107	30.8%	30	8.6%
SNYDER	13	11.5%	23	20.4%	26	23.0%	30	26.5%	12	10.6%
SOMERSET	9	8.0%	20	17.9%	29	25.9%	28	25.0%	14	12.5%
SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	8	11.1%	9	12.5%	16	22.2%	17	23.6%	16	22.2%
TIOGA	4	5.2%	16	20.8%	17	22.1%	23	29.9%	13	16.9%
UNION	3	8.6%	7	20.0%	10	28.6%	8	22.9%	3	8.6%
VENANGO	26	18.1%	21	14.6%	31	21.5%	30	20.8%	24	16.7%
WARREN	18	19.4%	11	11.8%	20	21.5%	20	21.5%	9	9.7%
WASHINGTON	81	15.9%	78	15.4%	101	19.9%	122	24.0%	55	10.8%
WAYNE	8	9.2%	9	10.3%	18	20.7%	23	26.4%	22	25.3%
WESTMORELAND	66	10.5%	111	17.7%	125	20.0%	176	28.1%	83	13.3%
WYOMING	5	7.4%	11	16.2%	11	16.2%	22	32.4%	16	23.5%
YORK	125	8.7%	262	18.2%	362	25.1%	431	29.9%	148	10.3%
TOTAL	3,509	10.8%	5,261	16.2%	7,164	22.0%	8,729	26.8%	4,203	12.9%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	IN SCHOOL	OUT OF SCHOOL	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	293	260	25	8
ALLEGHENY	3,588	3,412	162	14
ARMSTRONG	110	80	15	15
BEAVER	410	372	36	2
BEDFORD	36	32	4	0
BERKS	1,353	1,258	93	2
BLAIR	337	193	40	104
BRADFORD	142	104	19	19
BUCKS	982	873	78	31
BUTLER	278	246	20	12
CAMBRIA	361	347	14	0
CAMERON	11	11	0	0
CARBON	123	107	16	0
CENTRE	127	105	22	0
CHESTER	961	651	310	0
CLARION	56	54	2	0
CLEARFIELD	96	93	3	0
CLINTON	108	98	4	6
COLUMBIA	114	100	5	9
CRAWFORD	220	193	18	9
CUMBERLAND	592	556	36	0
DAUPHIN	854	745	86	23
DELAWARE	1,438	1,303	94	41
ELK	73	63	9	1
ERIE	798	693	95	10
FAYETTE	331	302	29	0
FOREST	18	17	1	0
FRANKLIN	393	331	21	41
FULTON	29	28	1	0
GREENE	75	65	10	0
HUNTINGDON	77	71	6	0
INDIANA	146	121	21	4
JEFFERSON	94	87	6	1
JUNIATA	30	24	6	0



Delinquency Dispositions by School Status, 2011

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	IN SCHOOL	OUT OF SCHOOL	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	324	302	20	2
LANCASTER	1,031	861	92	78
LAWRENCE	352	307	30	15
LEBANON	295	269	25	1
LEHIGH	1,429	1,235	136	58
LUZERNE	675	426	66	183
LYCOMING	446	429	17	0
MCKEAN	77	73	2	2
MERCER	351	296	17	38
MIFFLIN	84	74	10	0
MONROE	377	340	35	2
MONTGOMERY	1,699	1,144	111	444
MONTOUR	23	22	1	0
NORTHAMPTON	773	753	20	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	400	35	13
PERRY	100	92	8	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	4,590	662	278
PIKE	105	73	10	22
POTTER	45	42	3	0
SCHUYLKILL	347	199	25	123
SNYDER	113	103	3	7
SOMERSET	112	106	5	1
SULLIVAN	3	3	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	72	57	13	2
TIOGA	77	69	7	1
UNION	35	33	0	2
VENANGO	144	102	7	35
WARREN	93	77	10	6
WASHINGTON	508	352	7	149
WAYNE	87	65	6	16
WESTMORELAND	626	616	10	0
WYOMING	68	61	3	4
YORK	1,441	799	91	551
TOTAL	32,544	27,365	2,794	2,385
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	84.1%	8.6%	7.3%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Appendix 20

Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	UNDER 9TH	9TH	10TH	11TH	12TH	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	293	93	61	59	55	11	5	9
ALLEGHENY	3,588	1,370	686	729	646	89	47	21
ARMSTRONG	110	42	25	11	12	4	1	15
BEAVER	410	168	105	63	56	12	4	2
BEDFORD	36	11	5	12	4	4	0	0
BERKS	1,353	570	362	251	114	24	11	21
BLAIR	337	60	74	54	32	11	2	104
BRADFORD	142	41	30	27	17	6	2	19
BUCKS	982	268	183	242	198	39	2	50
BUTLER	278	82	54	57	42	5	25	13
CAMBRIA	361	131	80	79	58	13	0	0
CAMERON	11	6	2	1	2	0	0	0
CARBON	123	50	26	24	19	2	2	0
CENTRE	127	40	21	23	28	12	3	0
CHESTER	961	121	166	179	227	237	1	30
CLARION	56	27	8	11	7	0	3	0
CLEARFIELD	96	39	23	18	12	2	2	0
CLINTON	108	32	21	17	25	6	1	6
COLUMBIA	114	32	22	28	10	11	0	11
CRAWFORD	220	92	29	28	27	3	32	9
CUMBERLAND	592	193	110	99	59	9	122	0
DAUPHIN	854	345	169	155	128	23	5	29
DELAWARE	1,438	523	298	292	171	41	53	60
ELK	73	17	19	25	9	1	1	1
ERIE	798	336	160	145	93	21	33	10
FAYETTE	331	120	70	52	49	13	27	0
FOREST	18	13	3	1	0	1	0	0
FRANKLIN	393	131	65	74	31	6	45	41
FULTON	29	15	4	4	6	0	0	0
GREENE	75	23	15	20	14	2	0	1
HUNTINGDON	77	30	19	16	8	2	2	0
INDIANA	146	27	20	49	35	10	0	5
JEFFERSON	94	40	11	21	14	1	0	7
JUNIATA	30	3	9	10	7	0	0	1



Delinquency Dispositions by Highest Grade Completed, 2011

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	UNDER 9TH	9TH	10TH	11TH	12TH	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	324	126	65	63	32	7	28	3
LANCASTER	1,031	377	214	184	126	34	19	77
LAWRENCE	352	109	83	74	35	12	24	15
LEBANON	295	108	87	48	40	8	3	1
LEHIGH	1,429	526	328	271	184	26	2	92
LUZERNE	675	198	95	90	75	20	14	183
LYCOMING	446	192	105	36	103	6	4	0
MCKEAN	77	33	20	11	6	2	0	5
MERCER	351	94	77	86	52	2	2	38
MIFFLIN	84	33	25	14	9	1	2	0
MONROE	377	117	92	73	74	16	3	2
MONTGOMERY	1,699	201	184	222	230	177	239	446
MONTOUR	23	11	5	4	2	1	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	773	237	190	184	138	23	1	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	176	107	85	34	7	26	13
PERRY	100	54	18	17	9	2	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	1,209	1,193	1,110	681	419	589	329
PIKE	105	18	19	16	26	4	0	22
POTTER	45	21	6	5	12	0	1	0
SCHUYLKILL	347	99	44	45	21	7	5	126
SNYDER	113	32	20	20	17	2	2	20
SOMERSET	112	36	18	28	12	3	8	7
SULLIVAN	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	72	27	13	11	14	3	1	3
TIOGA	77	28	14	15	8	1	2	9
UNION	35	10	8	6	8	1	0	2
VENANGO	144	50	24	17	6	4	8	35
WARREN	93	35	20	18	11	3	0	6
WASHINGTON	508	176	71	69	38	5	0	149
WAYNE	87	21	9	21	11	9	0	16
WESTMORELAND	626	167	135	141	167	16	0	0
WYOMING	68	16	21	9	17	1	0	4
YORK	1,441	357	201	173	120	16	23	551
TOTAL	32,544	9,985	6,468	6,043	4,533	1,459	1,437	2,619
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	30.7%	19.9%	18.6%	13.9%	4.5%	4.4%	8.0%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2011

		FAMILY STATUS OF YOUTH'S BIOLOGICAL PARENTS									
COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	MARRIED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	ONE PARENT DECEASED	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED	NEVER MARRIED	OTHER	NOT REPORTED		
ADAMS	293	62	97	21	23	0	74	8	8		
ALLEGHENY	3,588	533	172	95	111	4	2,642	5	26		
ARMSTRONG	110	25	28	10	7	0	21	4	15		
BEAVER	410	79	83	28	10	3	176	29	2		
BEDFORD	36	7	19	1	1	1	5	2	0		
BERKS	1,353	241	205	97	53	3	723	10	21		
BLAIR	337	43	51	16	23	0	106	1	97		
BRADFORD	142	26	43	4	2	0	46	2	19		
BUCKS	982	306	211	65	59	3	288	19	31		
BUTLER	278	71	107	11	14	0	44	19	12		
CAMBRIA	361	54	42	16	10	0	236	3	0		
CAMERON	11	2	1	3	1	0	3	0	1		
CARBON	123	35	19	13	8	2	45	0	1		
CENTRE	127	46	65	2	5	0	8	1	0		
CHESTER	961	250	84	28	32	0	66	501	0		
CLARION	56	9	19	3	2	0	17	6	0		
CLEARFIELD	96	24	42	4	5	0	18	3	0		
CLINTON	108	19	42	12	8	0	17	4	6		
COLUMBIA	114	35	23	12	4	2	26	4	8		
CRAWFORD	220	43	54	10	10	1	67	26	9		
CUMBERLAND	592	165	94	47	20	0	110	156	0		
DAUPHIN	854	126	111	42	22	1	505	23	24		
DELAWARE	1,438	282	137	70	79	4	595	213	58		
ELK	73	10	36	0	6	0	20	0	1		
ERIE	798	143	114	58	49	5	396	23	10		
FAYETTE	331	38	33	87	17	0	113	43	0		
FOREST	18	6	4	1	0	0	6	1	0		
FRANKLIN	393	56	99	17	13	0	114	53	41		
FULTON	29	6	16	3	0	0	4	0	0		
GREENE	75	20	42	0	4	0	8	0	1		
HUNTINGDON	77	18	19	2	4	0	31	2	1		
INDIANA	146	41	40	14	6	1	34	4	6		
JEFFERSON	94	17	53	0	5	0	16	0	3		
JUNIATA	30	6	12	0	4	0	7	0	1		



Delinquency Dispositions by Family Status, 2011

continued

			FAMILY	STATUS OF YO	UTH'S BIOLOG	ICAL PARENT	S		
COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	MARRIED	DIVORCED	SEPARATED	ONE PARENT DECEASED	BOTH PARENTS DECEASED	NEVER MARRIED	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	324	73	76	12	21	0	137	3	2
LANCASTER	1,031	209	219	64	46	2	387	27	77
LAWRENCE	352	62	64	22	14	0	144	31	15
LEBANON	295	65	97	18	10	1	102	1	1
LEHIGH	1,429	251	187	110	69	4	690	13	105
LUZERNE	675	82	71	53	43	1	212	30	183
LYCOMING	446	55	75	3	10	0	285	18	0
MCKEAN	77	17	20	3	4	0	25	1	7
MERCER	351	52	77	32	16	0	129	7	38
MIFFLIN	84	24	23	3	3	0	28	3	0
MONROE	377	127	77	20	19	0	132	0	2
MONTGOMERY	1,699	262	184	42	48	4	321	392	446
MONTOUR	23	3	6	5	0	0	9	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	773	173	25	493	14	0	0	68	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	117	119	41	19	0	102	36	14
PERRY	100	22	30	5	10	0	28	5	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	427	240	187	453	22	3,744	107	350
PIKE	105	31	16	7	5	0	23	1	22
POTTER	45	10	30	3	0	0	1	1	0
SCHUYLKILL	347	49	42	23	18	0	79	12	124
SNYDER	113	42	49	5	5	0	5	1	6
SOMERSET	112	25	34	2	4	0	33	10	4
SULLIVAN	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	72	13	28	2	4	0	23	0	2
TIOGA	77	15	30	2	3	0	15	5	7
UNION	35	10	11	2	1	0	8	1	2
VENANGO	144	20	22	8	5	0	54	0	35
WARREN	93	24	24	5	7	1	23	2	7
WASHINGTON	508	70	106	9	14	1	140	19	149
WAYNE	87	21	19	7	5	1	15	3	16
WESTMORELAND	626	129	91	8	19	0	369	10	0
WYOMING	68	23	28	1	1	1	5	5	4
YORK	1,441	158	216	56	46	1	403	11	550
TOTAL	32,544	5,506	4,544	2,045	1,554	69	14,258	1,988	2,570
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	16.9%	14.0%	6.3%	4.8%	0.2%	43.8%	6.1%	7.9%

NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.



Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	BOTH PARENTS	MOTHER	FATHER	RELATIVE	FATHER/ STEP- MOTHER	MOTHER/ Step- Father	Foster Family	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
ADAMS	293	60	125	32	12	10	26	4	16	8
ALLEGHENY	3,588	494	2,282	297	267	23	131	29	64	1
ARMSTRONG	110	27	36	10	3	0	7	2	10	15
BEAVER	410	82	212	32	27	7	36	3	9	2
BEDFORD	36	5	10	6	3	4	4	3	1	0
BERKS	1,353	263	653	125	82	22	97	10	86	15
BLAIR	337	50	154	41	16	12	37	3	12	12
BRADFORD	142	26	50	17	4	2	15	1	9	18
BUCKS	982	324	342	85	57	22	72	1	48	31
BUTLER	278	72	78	28	19	4	20	4	41	12
CAMBRIA	361	64	190	30	21	3	23	3	27	0
CAMERON	11	2	4	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
CARBON	123	37	45	14	2	5	9	2	9	0
CENTRE	127	46	41	14	6	4	6	0	10	0
CHESTER	961	254	435	118	45	7	27	1	74	0
CLARION	56	11	14	7	2	4	13	0	5	0
CLEARFIELD	96	22	34	11	6	4	11	3	5	0
CLINTON	108	14	46	10	11	5	12	0	4	6
COLUMBIA	114	33	38	14	5	4	12	0	1	7
CRAWFORD	220	44	73	21	12	12	20	4	25	9
CUMBERLAND	592	174	229	54	13	19	59	9	35	0
DAUPHIN	854	132	450	62	55	11	62	11	53	18
DELAWARE	1,438	289	725	127	82	14	85	7	69	40
ELK	73	9	37	7	2	1	11	0	5	1
ERIE	798	155	378	67	53	14	66	2	53	10
FAYETTE	331	39	151	28	38	4	18	2	51	0
FOREST	18	7	3	3	1	0	2	1	1	0
FRANKLIN	393	68	122	30	0	17	46	8	61	41
FULTON	29	6	14	2	1	0	3	3	0	0
GREENE	75	19	28	8	4	4	3	3	6	0
HUNTINGDON	77	16	25	13	7	2	5	3	6	0
INDIANA	146	39	51	11	8	3	20	1	7	6
JEFFERSON	94	17	47	16	1	2	4	2	5	0
JUNIATA	30	6	11	2	4	0	5	0	1	1



Delinquency Dispositions by Living Arrangement of Youth, 2011


continued


COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	BOTH PARENTS	MOTHER	FATHER	RELATIVE	FATHER/ STEP- MOTHER	MOTHER/ STEP- FATHER	Foster Family	OTHER	NOT REPORTED
LACKAWANNA	324	80	163	37	21	3	11	3	5	1
LANCASTER	1,031	230	408	64	56	37	123	9	28	76
LAWRENCE	352	68	168	24	13	2	24	0	38	15
LEBANON	295	68	119	33	9	16	34	2	13	1
LEHIGH	1,429	250	741	105	84	20	85	13	57	74
LUZERNE	675	119	222	42	13	9	40	6	41	183
LYCOMING	446	60	267	53	26	4	10	6	20	0
MCKEAN	77	19	26	12	3	0	8	0	9	0
MERCER	351	54	136	32	27	12	33	2	18	37
MIFFLIN	84	23	29	11	7	4	8	1	1	0
MONROE	377	120	156	33	19	7	20	17	5	0
MONTGOMERY	1,699	265	463	95	78	28	76	15	233	446
MONTOUR	23	1	10	3	2	0	5	0	2	0
NORTHAMPTON	773	173	375	96	28	6	15	7	73	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	448	96	180	30	26	10	64	8	21	13
PERRY	100	19	34	19	11	2	7	2	6	0
PHILADELPHIA	5,530	550	3,178	346	642	40	25	76	362	311
PIKE	105	31	27	6	5	3	2	1	8	22
POTTER	45	11	13	7	6	2	6	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	347	50	91	24	16	7	24	2	11	122
SNYDER	113	44	33	10	4	1	12	0	2	7
SOMERSET	112	29	33	24	5	3	11	1	5	1
SULLIVAN	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	72	13	27	8	5	2	9	1	7	0
TIOGA	77	16	22	10	5	4	11	0	8	1
UNION	35	10	12	5	1	0	3	0	3	1
VENANGO	144	18	52	10	11	2	11	0	5	35
WARREN	93	21	34	8	6	4	10	0	3	7
WASHINGTON	508	87	148	28	31	18	32	2	13	149
WAYNE	87	24	26	6	4	0	7	1	3	16
WESTMORELAND	626	136	340	69	14	3	21	4	39	0
WYOMING	68	21	23	8	5	0	5	0	3	3
YORK	1,441	174	431	71	36	23	91	12	53	550
TOTAL	32,544	5,787	15,121	2,674	2,090	517	1,811	316	1,904	2,324
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	17.8%	46.5%	8.2%	6.4%	1.6%	5.6%	1.0%	5.9%	7.1%


NOTE: Does not include disposition and placement reviews.





The following charts highlight the distribution of total dispositions by race and ethnicity.


















Delinquency Dispositions by Percent of Race and Ethnicity and by Class County continued











The following charts highlight the distribution of total dispositions by race and ethnicity.



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
ADAMS	210	164	19	7	19	1
ALLEGHENY	2,541	789	1,672	56	16	8
ARMSTRONG	81	74	2	2	2	1
BEAVER	286	190	85	7	4	0
BEDFORD	31	28	2	0	0	1
BERKS	1,003	331	148	18	500	6
BLAIR	241	200	14	14	5	8
BRADFORD	104	102	0	0	2	0
BUCKS	706	468	143	7	49	39
BUTLER	214	184	22	5	1	2
CAMBRIA	270	192	76	1	1	0
CAMERON	8	8	0	0	0	0
CARBON	96	75	13	0	6	2
CENTRE	94	83	7	0	4	0
CHESTER	726	389	226	0	102	9
CLARION	43	39	1	1	1	1
CLEARFIELD	65	62	2	1	0	0
CLINTON	79	76	2	0	0	1
COLUMBIA	85	72	11	0	0	2
CRAWFORD	167	148	15	1	1	2
CUMBERLAND	414	286	44	13	31	40
DAUPHIN	572	160	292	20	73	27
DELAWARE	1,087	392	661	5	22	7
ELK	46	43	2	0	1	0
ERIE	575	302	200	25	46	2
FAYETTE	261	172	76	1	1	11
FOREST	16	12	4	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	285	213	51	7	13	1
FULTON	21	19	1	0	0	1
GREENE	50	50	0	0	0	0
HUNTINGDON	61	56	4	1	0	0
INDIANA	114	86	13	5	1	9
JEFFERSON	68	63	1	1	1	2
JUNIATA	22	22	0	0	0	0



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Males, 2011

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
LACKAWANNA	264	168	59	2	33	2
LANCASTER	789	396	153	20	212	8
LAWRENCE	244	153	62	13	2	14
LEBANON	229	153	15	1	58	2
LEHIGH	1,008	291	227	20	461	9
LUZERNE	485	326	73	3	62	21
LYCOMING	319	170	137	4	8	0
MCKEAN	58	53	1	0	1	3
MERCER	274	170	92	5	5	2
MIFFLIN	64	61	1	1	0	1
MONROE	257	137	72	2	42	4
MONTGOMERY	1,182	422	646	20	63	31
MONTOUR	17	13	2	2	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	548	268	137	0	138	5
NORTHUMBERLAND	321	266	18	9	19	9
PERRY	78	69	4	3	2	0
PHILADELPHIA	4,369	307	2,899	79	656	428
PIKE	81	55	5	3	11	7
POTTER	30	27	0	1	2	0
SCHUYLKILL	252	211	23	2	11	5
SNYDER	82	75	5	0	1	1
SOMERSET	87	82	4	0	0	1
SULLIVAN	3	3	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	58	55	0	1	1	1
TIOGA	61	60	0	0	1	0
UNION	31	29	0	0	1	1
VENANGO	110	87	11	2	8	2
WARREN	75	71	1	0	3	0
WASHINGTON	344	239	101	2	2	0
WAYNE	58	53	1	2	2	0
WESTMORELAND	450	336	82	17	13	2
WYOMING	54	47	4	0	3	0
YORK	1,104	595	340	8	118	43
TOTAL	24,028	10,998	8,984	420	2,841	785
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	45.8%	37.4%	1.7%	11.8%	3.3%

 NOTE : Does not include disposition and placement reviews.

Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
ADAMS	83	68	8	1	6	0
ALLEGHENY	1,047	292	710	28	15	2
ARMSTRONG	29	25	2	0	1	1
BEAVER	124	62	59	2	1	0
BEDFORD	5	5	0	0	0	0
BERKS	350	99	67	16	168	0
BLAIR	96	82	8	3	1	2
BRADFORD	38	38	0	0	0	0
BUCKS	276	161	60	3	19	33
BUTLER	64	49	11	3	0	1
CAMBRIA	91	67	22	2	0	0
CAMERON	3	3	0	0	0	0
CARBON	27	26	0	1	0	0
CENTRE	33	32	1	0	0	0
CHESTER	235	121	92	1	20	1
CLARION	13	12	1	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	31	31	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	29	28	0	0	0	1
COLUMBIA	29	28	1	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	53	37	12	1	2	1
CUMBERLAND	178	113	25	9	13	18
DAUPHIN	282	65	166	7	39	5
DELAWARE	351	96	244	3	8	0
ELK	27	21	5	1	0	0
ERIE	223	95	83	16	27	2
FAYETTE	70	49	17	1	0	3
FOREST	2	2	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	108	84	18	3	3	0
FULTON	8	8	0	0	0	0
GREENE	25	23	0	0	2	0
HUNTINGDON	16	16	0	0	0	0
INDIANA	32	29	1	0	0	2
JEFFERSON	26	26	0	0	0	0
JUNIATA	8	7	0	0	1	0



Delinquency Dispositions by Race and Ethnicity for Females, 2011

continued

COUNTY	TOTAL DISPOS.	WHITE NON-HISP	BLACK NON-HISP	MULTI - RACIAL NON-HISP	HISPANIC	OTHER / UNK
LACKAWANNA	60	37	10	0	11	2
LANCASTER	242	120	35	8	73	6
LAWRENCE	108	73	26	2	0	7
LEBANON	66	45	5	0	16	0
LEHIGH	421	118	90	9	199	5
LUZERNE	190	124	23	4	22	17
LYCOMING	127	53	60	5	5	4
MCKEAN	19	14	0	2	2	1
MERCER	77	43	27	4	3	0
MIFFLIN	20	19	1	0	0	0
MONROE	120	63	41	1	15	0
MONTGOMERY	517	140	330	12	24	11
MONTOUR	6	4	1	0	1	0
NORTHAMPTON	225	93	68	1	62	1
NORTHUMBERLAND	127	93	9	5	10	10
PERRY	22	21	0	0	1	0
PHILADELPHIA	1,161	80	822	35	118	106
PIKE	24	15	2	1	4	2
POTTER	15	14	1	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	95	77	6	0	5	7
SNYDER	31	26	1	0	4	0
SOMERSET	25	25	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	14	14	0	0	0	0
TIOGA	16	14	1	0	1	0
UNION	4	2	0	0	2	0
VENANGO	34	28	4	0	0	2
WARREN	18	16	0	2	0	0
WASHINGTON	164	115	42	3	4	0
WAYNE	29	25	1	0	3	0
WESTMORELAND	176	121	34	14	7	0
WYOMING	14	14	0	0	0	0
YORK	337	196	93	2	31	15
TOTAL	8,516	3,742	3,346	211	949	268
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	43.9%	39.3%	2.5%	11.1%	3.1%

 $\operatorname{NOTE}:$ Does not include disposition and placement reviews.

Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity, 2011

COUNTY	TOTAL	WHITE N/H	% OF TOTAL	BLACK N/H	% OF TOTAL	HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	MULTI-RACIAL OTHER/UNK	% OF TOTAL
ADAMS	25	16	64.0%	3	12.0%	6	24.0%	0	0.0%
ALLEGHENY	3,362	492	14.6%	2,808	83.5%	31	0.9%	31	0.9%
ARMSTRONG	4	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
BEAVER	100	49	49.0%	48	48.0%	2	2.0%	1	1.0%
BEDFORD	6	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BERKS	335	71	21.2%	82	24.5%	177	52.8%	5	1.5%
BLAIR	44	40	90.9%	4	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BRADFORD	15	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BUCKS	704	495	70.3%	134	19.0%	70	9.9%	5	0.7%
BUTLER	7	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CAMBRIA	68	34	50.0%	33	48.5%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%
CAMERON	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CARBON	8	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
CENTRE	32	27	84.4%	4	12.5%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%
CHESTER	346	148	42.8%	154	44.5%	42	12.1%	2	0.6%
CLARION	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLEARFIELD	47	46	97.9%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLINTON	22	20	90.9%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
COLUMBIA	14	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CUMBERLAND	59	40	67.8%	15	25.4%	4	6.8%	0	0.0%
DAUPHIN	405	47	11.6%	306	75.6%	37	9.1%	15	3.7%
DELAWARE	1,098	271	24.7%	783	71.3%	35	3.2%	9	0.8%
ELK	6	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ERIE	278	110	39.6%	120	43.2%	25	9.0%	23	8.3%
FAYETTE	46	26	56.5%	20	43.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FOREST	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	35	25	71.4%	8	22.9%	2	5.7%	0	0.0%
FULTON	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GREENE	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HUNTINGDON	19	19	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
INDIANA	18	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JUNIATA	16	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%




Secure Detention by Race and Ethnicity, 2011


COUNTY	TOTAL	WHITE N/H	% OF TOTAL	BLACK N/H	% OF TOTAL	HISPANIC	% OF TOTAL	MULTI-RACIAL OTHER/UNK	% OF TOTAL
LACKAWANNA	252	163	64.7%	43	17.1%	45	17.9%	1	0.4%
LANCASTER	333	134	40.2%	87	26.1%	111	33.3%	1	0.3%
LAWRENCE	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEBANON	73	40	54.8%	8	11.0%	24	32.9%	1	1.4%
LEHIGH	432	95	22.0%	143	33.1%	193	44.7%	1	0.2%
LUZERNE	107	67	62.6%	27	25.2%	12	11.2%	1	0.9%
LYCOMING	123	47	38.2%	70	56.9%	3	2.4%	3	2.4%
MCKEAN	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MERCER	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MIFFLIN	34	34	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONROE	113	57	50.4%	33	29.2%	23	20.4%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY	431	147	34.1%	220	51.0%	33	7.7%	31	7.2%
MONTOUR	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NORTHAMPTON	229	77	33.6%	74	32.3%	77	33.6%	1	0.4%
NORTHUMBERLAND	12	9	75.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%
PERRY	23	20	87.0%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHILADELPHIA	5,403	345	6.4%	3,946	73.0%	803	14.9%	309	5.7%
PIKE	14	8	57.1%	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%
POTTER	8	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLKILL	29	22	75.9%	3	10.3%	4	13.8%	0	0.0%
SNYDER	10	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SOMERSET	17	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SULLIVAN	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SUSQUEHANNA	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TIOGA	16	15	93.8%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%
UNION	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
VENANGO	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	200	123	61.5%	72	36.0%	3	1.5%	2	1.0%
WAYNE	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WESTMORELAND	187	114	61.0%	65	34.8%	5	2.7%	3	1.6%
WYOMING	9	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
YORK	158	58	36.7%	71	44.9%	26	16.5%	3	1.9%
TOTAL	15,351	3,691	24.0%	9,410	61.3%	1,800	11.7%	450	2.9%


Dispositions Resulting in Placement by Race and Ethnicity and by Class County - Including Disposition Reviews


The following charts highlight the distribution of total placements by race and ethnicity.




*Only counties with Placement Dispositions are shown here.










Page 92



Dispositions Resulting in Secure Placement by Race and Ethnicity and by Class County - Including Disposition Reviews





Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2011

	TOTAL		DELINQUE	NCY CASES			DEPENDE	ICY CASES	
COUNTY	DISPOSITIONS	SUBTOTAL	NEW Allegations	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	SUBTOTAL	NEW Allegations	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS
ADAMS	414	414	293	74	47	0	0	0	0
ALLEGHENY	8,585	8,585	3,588	3,728	1,269	0	0	0	0
ARMSTRONG	127	127	110	3	14	0	0	0	0
BEAVER	542	479	410	50	19	63	57	3	3
BEDFORD	70	70	36	21	13	0	0	0	0
BERKS	2,636	1,920	1,353	309	258	716	716	0	0
BLAIR	423	423	337	43	43	0	0	0	0
BRADFORD	170	170	142	16	12	0	0	0	0
BUCKS	2,125	2,125	982	775	368	0	0	0	0
BUTLER	533	533	278	194	61	0	0	0	0
CAMBRIA	624	475	361	93	21	149	113	34	2
CAMERON	16	13	11	2	0	3	3	0	0
CARBON	175	175	123	31	21	0	0	0	0
CENTRE	186	186	127	31	28	0	0	0	0
CHESTER	1,707	1,707	961	454	292	0	0	0	0
CLARION	163	163	56	78	29	0	0	0	0
CLEARFIELD	125	125	96	16	13	0	0	0	0
CLINTON	202	202	108	58	36	0	0	0	0
COLUMBIA	176	176	114	51	11	0	0	0	0
CRAWFORD	279	279	220	15	44	0	0	0	0
CUMBERLAND	924	924	592	279	53	0	0	0	0
DAUPHIN	1,525	1,525	854	463	208	0	0	0	0
DELAWARE	4,024	4,023	1,438	2,023	562	1	1	0	0
ELK	78	78	73	1	4	0	0	0	0
ERIE	1,261	1,254	798	69	387	7	1	1	5
FAYETTE	375	375	331	18	26	0	0	0	0
FOREST	21	21	18	2	1	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN	650	650	393	111	146	0	0	0	0
FULTON	41	41	29	2	10	0	0	0	0
GREENE	95	94	75	12	7	1	1	0	0
HUNTINGDON	84	83	77	1	5	1	1	0	0
INDIANA	168	168	146	17	5	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON	118	116	94	15	7	2	1	1	0
JUNIATA	61	61	30	12	19	0	0	0	0



Summary of All Delinquency and Dependency Dispositions, 2011

	TOTAL		DELINQUE	NCY CASES			DEPENDEN	NCY CASES	
COUNTY	DISPOSITIONS	SUBTOTAL	NEW Allegations	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS	SUBTOTAL	NEW Allegations	DISPOSITION REVIEWS	PLACEMENT REVIEWS
LACKAWANNA	1,258	1,258	324	839	95	0	0	0	0
LANCASTER	1,311	1,311	1,031	57	223	0	0	0	0
LAWRENCE	408	407	352	26	29	1	1	0	0
LEBANON	407	407	295	71	41	0	0	0	0
LEHIGH	2,246	2,246	1,429	436	381	0	0	0	0
LUZERNE	1,031	1,031	675	203	153	0	0	0	0
LYCOMING	813	813	446	245	122	0	0	0	0
MCKEAN	150	150	77	48	25	0	0	0	0
MERCER	544	537	351	97	89	7	0	2	5
MIFFLIN	121	121	84	16	21	0	0	0	0
MONROE	682	682	377	106	199	0	0	0	0
MONTGOMERY	2,534	2,303	1,699	67	537	231	136	24	71
MONTOUR	47	47	23	16	8	0	0	0	0
NORTHAMPTON	972	972	773	94	105	0	0	0	0
NORTHUMBERLAND	500	499	448	17	34	1	1	0	0
PERRY	112	112	100	5	7	0	0	0	0
PHILADELPHIA	32,648	32,648	5,530	18,624	8,494	0	0	0	0
PIKE	157	157	105	26	26	0	0	0	0
POTTER	50	50	45	1	4	0	0	0	0
SCHUYLKILL	418	418	347	28	43	0	0	0	0
SNYDER	161	160	113	26	21	1	1	0	0
SOMERSET	170	170	112	56	2	0	0	0	0
SULLIVAN	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
SUSQUEHANNA	95	94	72	10	12	1	1	0	0
TIOGA	123	123	77	26	20	0	0	0	0
UNION	45	45	35	2	8	0	0	0	0
VENANGO	257	257	144	63	50	0	0	0	0
WARREN	116	116	93	8	15	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	815	814	508	119	187	1	1	0	0
WAYNE	129	129	87	10	32	0	0	0	0
WESTMORELAND	1,220	1,213	626	333	254	7	7	0	0
WYOMING	94	94	68	16	10	0	0	0	0
YORK	2,705	2,705	1,441	840	424	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	81,045	79,852	32,544	31,598	15,710	1,193	1,042	65	86
	100%	98.5%				1.4%			



Juvenile Court Judges' Commission Center for Juvenile Justice Training and Research Information and Technology Division Shippensburg University 1871 Old Main Drive, Shippensburg, PA 17257-2299